

# ON THE GEOMETRY OF SYMPLECTIC RESOLUTIONS

VICTOR GINZBURG

## CONTENTS

1.	Poisson schemes	1
2.	Hamiltonian reduction in the symplectic case	6
3.	Deformations and quantizations of Poisson schemes	9
4.	Symplectic singularities	13
5.	Symplectic resolutions	18
6.	Poisson deformations.	19
7.	Purity	22
8.	Tilting generators	25
9.	Algebraic cycles and cohomological purity	28
10.	Appendix 1: On rational singularities	31
11.	Appendix 2: Reminder on GIT and stability	33
12.	Appendix 3: Sommesse vanishing	37
	References	38

## 1. POISSON SCHEMES

**1.1. Basic definitions.** In this section, we work over an arbitrary field  $\mathbb{k}$  of characteristic zero.

**Definition.** Let  $A$  be a commutative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra.

- A Poisson structure on  $A$  is the structure of a Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{k}$  such that the Lie bracket  $\{-, -\} : A \times A \rightarrow A$  satisfies the Leibniz identity:

$$\{a \cdot b, c\} = a \cdot \{b, c\} + \{a, c\} \cdot b, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A. \quad (1.1.1)$$

In such a case, one says that  $A$  is a Poisson algebra and  $\{-, -\}$  is the corresponding Poisson bracket.

- The Poisson center of the Poisson algebra  $A$  is the center of  $A$ , viewed as a Lie algebra, i.e. the set of all  $z \in A$  such that  $\{z, a\} = 0$  for all  $a \in A$ .
- A Poisson ideal is an ideal  $I$  in  $A$ , viewed as a commutative algebra, such that one has  $\{I, A\} \subset I$ .

Equation (1.1.1) says that the map  $\{-, c\} : A \rightarrow A$  is a derivation of  $A$  as a commutative algebra. By skew symmetry, the map  $\{c, -\}$  is also a derivation of  $A$ , to be denoted  $\xi_c$ .

The Poisson center of  $A$  is a subalgebra in  $A$ .

If  $I$  is a Poisson ideal in  $A$  then the Poisson bracket on  $A$  descends to  $A/I$  and makes  $A/I$  a Poisson algebra such that the map  $A \rightarrow A/I$  respects the brackets.

Given a commutative algebra  $A$ , write  $\sqrt{A}$  and  $\text{Sing}(A)$ , for the radical of  $A$  and the ideal of the singular locus of the scheme  $\text{Spec } A$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Poisson algebra and  $I$  a Poisson ideal in  $A$ .*

- (i) *Any minimal prime ideal that contains a given Poisson ideal  $I$  is itself a Poisson ideal;*
- (ii) *The ideals  $\sqrt{A}$  and  $\text{Sing}(A)$  are Poisson ideals.*
- (iii) *For any multiplicative subset  $S \subset A \setminus \{0\}$ , the Poisson bracket on  $A$  has a unique extension to the localization  $S^{-1}A$*
- (iv) *If  $A$  is a domain then the Poisson bracket on  $A$  has a canonical extension to a Poisson bracket on the integral closure of  $A$  in its field of fractions.*

**1.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.2.** We begin with some general results in commutative algebra. We let  $Q(A)$  denote the field of fraction of a domain  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary finitely-generated  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra and  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  a  $\mathbb{k}$ -derivation.

**Lemma 1.2.1.** *Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal. Define*

$$J = \left\{ x \in I \mid \delta^k(x) \in A, \forall k \geq 0 \right\}.$$

*Clearly  $J$  is a  $\delta$ -stable ideal contained in  $I$ . If  $I$  is prime, then  $J$  is prime.*

*Proof.* Define a map

$$\exp : A \rightarrow A[[t]], \quad a \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^i}{i!} \delta^i(a).$$

Recall that since  $\delta$  is a derivation,  $\exp$  is a ring homomorphism. Then  $I[[t]] \subset A[[t]]$  is an ideal and we see  $J = \exp^{-1}(I[[t]])$ . Now  $I$  prime implies  $A[[t]]/I[[t]] \cong A/I[[t]]$  has no zero divisors. So  $I[[t]]$  is prime, so  $J$  is prime.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.2.** (i) *Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$  be a  $\delta$ -stable ideal. Any minimal prime  $I \supset \mathfrak{a}$  and hence  $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$  is also  $\delta$ -stable.*

(ii) *Assume  $A$  is a domain and let  $\overline{A}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $Q(A)$ . Then  $\delta$  extends uniquely to  $Q(A)$  and  $\overline{A}$  is  $\delta$ -stable.*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $I \subset \mathfrak{a}$  be a minimal prime and let  $J$  be as in the lemma. Since  $I$  is prime,  $J$  is prime, and since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\delta$ -stable,  $\mathfrak{a} \subset J$ . So we have  $\mathfrak{a} \subset J \subset I$  and because  $I$  is minimal  $I = J$ . Therefore  $I$  is  $\delta$ -stable.

(ii) Recall that if  $B$  is integrally closed, then so is  $B[[t]]$ . It follows that  $\overline{A[[t]]} = \overline{A}[[t]]$ . Now we use the idea of the proof of Lemma 1.2.1. Since the map  $\sum_i a_i t^i \mapsto a_0$  is left-inverse to  $\exp$ , we see The map  $\exp$  is injective. Furthermore, the imbedding  $\exp : A \hookrightarrow A[[t]]$ . extends to  $\exp : Q(A) \hookrightarrow Q(A[[t]])$ . So  $\exp(\overline{A}) \subset \overline{A[[t]]} = \overline{A}[[t]]$ . So if  $a \in \overline{A}$ , then each coefficient of  $\exp(a)$  is in  $\overline{A}$ . So  $\delta^k(a) \in \overline{A}$  for all  $k$ . In particular  $\delta(a) \in \overline{A}$  as desired.  $\square$

The following result seems to be standard. We give a proof<sup>1</sup> for the reader's convenience.

**Lemma 1.2.3.** *If  $\mathcal{O}$  is a local  $\mathbb{k}$ -domain of Krull dimension 1 and  $R$  is its integral closure then for each local ring  $A$  of  $R$ , there is an element  $x$  in  $A$  such that  $A = \mathcal{O}[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Say  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Suppose that  $z \in A$  is a unit which descends to a primitive generator of the residue field of  $A$  over  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$  and is such that  $A = R[z]$ . Let  $y$  be any uniformizer of  $A$ . We claim that  $A = \mathcal{O}[z, y]$ . First note that  $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}[z]$  is in the Jacobson radical of  $\mathcal{O}[z]$ . Indeed the inclusion of  $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}[z]$  induces an isomorphism on fraction fields. So if a prime ideal  $p \subset \mathcal{O}[z]$  satisfied  $\mathcal{O} \cap p = 0$  then we have inclusions  $Q(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[z]_p \rightarrow Q(\mathcal{O})$ . Thus  $p = 0$ . We view the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}[z, y] \hookrightarrow A$  as an embedding of  $\mathcal{O}[z]$ -modules. To check that it is surjective it suffices to check modulo  $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}[z]$  since  $A = R[z]$  is finite over  $\mathcal{O}[z]$  and  $\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}[z]$  is in the Jacobson radical. So

<sup>1</sup>We are grateful to Ian Shipman for providing this proof.

we have  $\mathcal{O}[z, y]/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{O}[z, y] \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}A$ , which we view as a map of  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}[t]$ -modules where  $t$  acts as  $y$  on both sides. Since  $t$  acts nilpotently on  $A/\mathfrak{m}A$ , it is supported at  $t = 0$ . So it suffices to check surjectivity by checking it modulo  $y$ . Now  $A/yA$  is a field and  $z$  projects to a primitive generator of it over  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ . Hence the map is surjective modulo  $y$  as desired.

To see that  $z$  as above exist we note that  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  is an Artin ring. So it is the product of its localizations, one of which corresponds to  $A$ . We can find an element  $a \in R$  such that

- (1) the image of  $a$  is zero in all of the factors of  $R/\mathfrak{m}R$  except the one corresponding to  $A$
- (2)  $a$  projects to a primitive generator of the residue field of  $A$  over  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $z = 1/a$  has the necessary properties.

Let  $P$  be a lift to  $\mathcal{O}[t]$  of the minimal polynomial of the residue of  $z$  over  $\mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{m}$ . First, if  $P(z)$  is a uniformizer then since  $A = \mathcal{O}[z, P(z)] = \mathcal{O}[z]$  we can take  $x = z$  as our generator. If  $P(z)$  is not a uniformizer it must lie in  $\mathfrak{m}_A^2$ . We choose a uniformizer  $y$  and set  $x = z + y$ . This generates  $A$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  since  $P(x) = P(z + y) = P'(z)y \pmod{(y^2)}$  and  $P$  was minimal.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a Poisson algebra and  $M$  be a module over  $A$ , viewed as a commutative algebra.

**Definition.** A Poisson  $A$ -module is an  $A$ -module  $M$ , where  $A$  is regarded as a commutative algebra, equipped with a Lie action  $\{-, -\}_M : A \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $a, m \rightarrow \{a, m\}_M$ , satisfying properties similar to those for a Poisson algebra:

- (1)  $\{ab, m\}_M = a\{b, m\}_M + b\{a, m\}_M$ ,
- (2)  $\{a, bm\}_M = a, b \cdot m + a \cdot \{b, m\}_M$
- (3)  $\{\{a, b\}, m\}_M = \{a, \{b, m\}\}_M - \{b, \{a, m\}\}_M$ .

Note that equation (2) says that for any  $a \in A$  the map  $\{a, -\}_M$  is a derivation of  $M$  as a module over a commutative algebra.

Note that any Poisson ideals of a Poisson are nothing but the Poisson submodules of that algebra, viewed as a module over itself. Using this, Theorem 1.1.2 can now be deduced from the following two results

**Lemma 1.2.4.** Let  $\delta : A \rightarrow A$  be a derivation of a commutative algebra  $A$ , let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module, and  $\delta_M : M \rightarrow M$  a  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear map such that  $\delta_M(am) = \delta(a)m + a\delta_M(m)$  for all  $a \in A, m \in M$ . Then the annihilator of  $M$  in  $A$  is a  $\delta$ -stable ideal of  $A$ .

**Corollary 1.2.5.** Any derivation of  $A$  preserves the ideal  $\text{Sing } A$ .

The proof of part (iv) of the Theorem is reduced to extending Poisson structures in codimension 1 in certain cases. In those cases the argument is based on Lemma 1.2.3.

**1.3. Poisson geometry.** Let  $X$  be a scheme with structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , and  $A = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . We write

- $\Omega_X^1 =$  sheaf of Kähler differentials;  $\Omega_X^n := \wedge^n_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1$ ;
- $\mathcal{T}_X =$  sheaf of derivations  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^1, \mathcal{O}_X) =$  ‘vector fields’.
- $\text{Poly}_X^n =$  sheaf of  $\mathbb{k}$ -linear maps  $\wedge^n \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  which are derivations in each of of the arguments  $= \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^n, \mathcal{O}_X) =$  ‘polyvector fields’.

We write  $A = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , resp.  $\mathcal{T}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{T}(X))$ , etc.

With this dictionary, giving a skew-symmetric pairing  $\{-, -\} : \mathcal{O}_X \times \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  that satisfies (1.1) is equivalent to giving a bivector field  $\Pi \in \text{Poly}^2(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\Omega_X^2, \mathcal{O}_X)$  such that

$$\{a, b\} = \Pi(da \wedge db). \quad (1.3.1)$$

The above formula shows that the notion of Poisson structure is local, in particular, the RHS of (1.3.1) makes sense for local sections  $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_X$  of the structure sheaf of an arbitrary scheme  $X$ .

Thus, there is a well-defined notion of Poisson bracket on  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . A scheme equipped with such a bracket is called a Poisson scheme.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.2

**Proposition 1.3.2.** *The reduction, normalization, and irreducible components of a Poisson scheme are themselves Poisson schemes.*

**1.4. The smooth case.** Assume now that  $X$  is smooth. Then  $\text{Poly}_X^n = \wedge^n \mathcal{T}_X$ . Here and below we often abuse the notation and write  $\wedge^n \mathcal{T}_X$  for  $\wedge^n_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X$ , etc.

The graded  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_X$ , resp.  $\text{Poly}_X^n$ , has the canonical structure of a Poisson algebra, resp. Poisson *super*-algebra, such that:

- multiplication:= commutative product on  $\text{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{T}_X$ , resp.  $\wedge$ -product on  $\wedge^\bullet \mathcal{T}_X$ .
- bracket is uniquely determined, via the Leibniz, resp. super-Leibniz, rule, by the formulas (same in both cases):

$$\{\xi, a\} := \xi(a), \quad \{\xi, \eta\} := [\xi, \eta], \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{O}_X, \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{T}_X.$$

The bracket  $\{-, -\}$  on  $\text{Poly}_X^n$  is called the Schouten bracket and will be denoted by  $[-, -]_{\text{Scho}} : \text{Poly}_X^m \times \text{Poly}_X^n \rightarrow \text{Poly}_X^{m+n-1}$ .

For  $\Pi \in \text{Poly}^2(X)$  define the Lie derivative operator  $L_\Pi = [\Pi, -]_{\text{Scho}} : \text{Poly}_X^\bullet \rightarrow \text{Poly}_X^{\bullet+1}$ . This is a (super)-derivation wrt  $\wedge$ -product. One has

$$\text{Jacobi holds for (1.3.1)} \iff L_\Pi \text{ is a Lie derivation} \iff [\Pi, \Pi]_{\text{Scho}} = 0 \iff (L_\Pi)^2 = 0.$$

**Corollary 1.4.1.** *The bracket (1.3.1) is a Poisson bracket iff  $[\Pi, \Pi]_{\text{Scho}} = 0$ .*  $\square$

*Example 1.4.2 (Constant brackets).* Let  $X = V$  be a vector space. Then any element  $\Pi \in \wedge^2 V$  may be viewed as a constant bivector field on  $V$ . Explicitly, choose coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  on  $V$ . Then, one has

$$\Pi = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Any such bivector satisfies Jacobi identity. The corresponding Poisson bracket on  $\mathbb{C}[V]$  reads

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

*Example 1.4.3 (Linear brackets).* A linear bivector field on the vector space  $V$  is a bivector field of the form (we use the same notation as above):

$$\Pi = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j}^k x_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}, \quad \text{so} \quad \{f, g\} = \langle \Pi, df \wedge dg \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j}^k x_k \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}.$$

This bracket clearly preserves the space of linear functions, ie., we it has a property that  $\{V^*, V^*\} \subset V^*$ . Therefore, the above bracket is a Poisson bracket iff it gives  $V^*$  the structure of a Lie algebra with structure constants  $c_{i,j}^k$ . Conversely, any Lie algebra structure on a vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  with structure constants  $c_{i,j}^k$  extends uniquely to a Poisson bracket on the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*] = \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  stands for  $V^*$  in the previous notation.

Poisson ideals in  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$  are precisely the  $\text{ad } \mathfrak{g}$ -stable ideals.

Associated with any  $\Pi \in \text{Poly}^2(X)$ , one has a contraction map

$$i_\Pi : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X, \tag{1.4.4}$$

This is a morphism of  $A$ -modules. Let  $a \mapsto \xi_a$  be the composite map

$$i_{\Pi} \circ d : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{i_{\Pi}} \mathcal{T}_X. \quad (1.4.5)$$

Then, the derivation of the commutative algebra  $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$  that corresponds to the vector field  $\xi_a$  is the derivation  $\{a, -\}$ , by definition. In particular, we obtain

**Corollary 1.4.6.** *The bracket  $\{-, -\}$  associated to a bivector field  $\Pi$  is a Poisson bracket iff the map  $i_{\Pi} \circ d$  in (1.4.5) respects the brackets.*

The  $A$ -module morphism (1.4.5) can be extended uniquely to a graded  $A$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\sigma_{\Pi} : \Omega_X^{\bullet} = \wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \text{Poly}_X^n = \wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{T}_X. \quad (1.4.7)$$

**Proposition 1.4.8.** (i) *The Jacobi holds for  $\Pi$  iff the map  $\sigma_{\Pi}$  intertwines the de Rham differential on  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$  with the map  $L_{\Pi}$  on  $\text{Poly}_X^{\bullet}$ .*

(ii) *If  $\Pi$  is a Poisson bivector then one has the following Tian-Todorov identity:*

$$[i_{\Pi}(\alpha), i_{\Pi}(\alpha)] = i_{\Pi}(d(i_{\Pi}(\alpha \wedge \beta))) - i_{\Pi}(d\alpha \wedge \beta) - i_{\Pi}(\alpha \wedge d\beta), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega_X^1. \quad (1.4.9)$$

*Hint for (i).* Since both  $d$  and  $L_{\Pi}$  are super-derivations, it suffices to verify the statement for a set of generators of the algebra  $\Omega_X^{\bullet}$ . Thus, suffices to show that

$$\sigma_{\Pi}(d\alpha) = L_{\Pi}(\sigma_{\Pi}(\alpha))$$

holds in the cases where  $\alpha = a \in A$  and  $\alpha = db$ ,  $b \in A$ . □

*Remark 1.4.10.* The above constructions can be extended to the non-smooth case as well. In that case one has to replace the wedge-product by a  $\cup$ -product, resp. the Schouten bracket by the Gerstenhaber bracket. An analogue of the above proposition still holds but the proof is more difficult.

**Definition.** A symplectic form on a smooth variety  $X$  is a nondegenerate closed 2-form  $\omega$ . In this case, one says that  $(X, \omega)$  is a symplectic (algebraic) manifold.

A bivector  $\Pi$  is said to be nondegenerate if the map (1.4.4) is an isomorphism. In that case the 2-form  $i_{\Pi}^{-1}(\Pi) \in \Omega_X^2$  is also nondegenerate. Thus, Proposition 1.4.8 yields

**Corollary 1.4.11.** *A nondegenerate bivector  $\Pi$  satisfies Jacobi iff the 2-form  $\omega := i_{\Pi}^{-1}(\Pi) \in \Omega_X^2$  is a symplectic form.* □

*Example 1.4.12.* A calculation in (étale) local coordinates shows that the canonical Poisson structure on  $\text{Sym } \mathcal{T}_X$  is nondegenerate. So, for any smooth variety  $X$ , the cotangent bundle  $T^*X$  is a symplectic (algebraic) manifold.

**1.5. Symplectic leaves.** Given a bivector  $\Pi$  on a smooth variety  $X$ , the map (1.4.4) may be thought of as a morphism  $\mathcal{T}_X^* \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ , from the cotangent to the tangent bundle on  $X$ . Let  $V_x := \text{Im}[T_x^* \rightarrow T_x]$  be the image of the corresponding linear map  $i_{\Pi|_x} : T_x^* \rightarrow T_x$ , of the fibers of  $\mathcal{T}_X^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_X$  at  $x \in X$ . It follows from definitions that  $\Pi|_x \in \wedge^2 V_x \subset \wedge^2 T_x$  and, moreover,  $\Pi|_x$  viewed as an element of  $\wedge^2 V_x$  is nondegenerate. Thus, the inverse of that element makes  $V_x$  a symplectic vector space.

The collection of spaces  $V_x$ ,  $x \in X$  is usually referred to as a distribution on  $X$ . It follows from Proposition 1.4.4 that the distribution is integrable, i.e. for any vector fields  $\xi, \eta$  tangent to the distribution, the vector field  $[\xi, \eta]$  is tangent to the distribution as well. However, the dimension of the vector space  $V_x$  may depend on the point  $x$ , so our distribution does not necessarily have constant rank.

Assume that  $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{C}$ . Then, according to a theorem of Frobenius, an integrable holomorphic distribution on a complex manifold  $X$  gives a holomorphic foliation on  $X$ . Each leaf  $C$  is a smooth complex manifold such that for any  $x \in C$  we have  $T_x C = V_x$ . Furthermore, the symplectic forms on the spaces  $V_x$  make  $C$  a holomorphic symplectic manifold. Note however that the natural imbedding  $C \hookrightarrow X$  is not necessarily a closed imbedding: there may be leaves which are everywhere dense in  $X$ .

Now let  $X$  be a (possibly singular) complex algebraic variety and  $\Pi$  an algebraic Poisson bivector. We can partition  $X$  (set theoretically) into a union of smooth locally closed algebraic varieties inductively:  $X_0 = X_{\text{reg}}$ ,  $X_1 := ((\text{Sing}(X))_{\text{red}})_{\text{reg}}, \dots$ . Each variety has a Poisson structure by Theorem 1.1.2, so we can consider symplectic leaves on  $X_i$ , viewed as a smooth complex Poisson manifold. The collection of leaves of all the  $X_i$ 's are the symplectic leaves of  $X$ , by definition.

**Theorem 1.5.1.** *If an algebraic Poisson variety has finitely many symplectic leaves then every such leaf is a locally closed algebraic subvariety.*

## 2. HAMILTONIAN REDUCTION IN THE SYMPLECTIC CASE

**2.1. Hamiltonian reduction of Poisson algebras. Hamiltonian reduction.** Let  $A$  be a Poisson algebra,  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Lie algebra, and  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$  a linear map that respects the Lie brackets. The canonical Poisson algebra structure on  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$  constructed in Example 1.4.3 has the following universal property:

*Given a Poisson algebra  $A$ , any linear map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$  that respects the Lie brackets can be uniquely extended to a Poisson algebra homomorphism  $\mu_\rho : \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$ .*

A Lie algebra map  $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow A$  gives a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $A$  by  $x : a \mapsto \{\rho(x), a\}$ . Given an ad  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable ideal  $I \in \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$ , we consider  $\mu_\rho(I) \cdot A$ . This is a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable ideal of  $A$  in the sense of commutative algebras but it is not necessarily a Poisson ideal in  $A$ .

*Claim 2.1.1.* The Poisson bracket on  $A$  descends to a well-defined operation on  $(A/\mu_\rho(I) \cdot A)^\mathfrak{g}$ . The resulting Poisson algebra is called the Hamiltonian reduction of  $A$  at  $I$ .

More geometrically, assume that  $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$  and identify  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$ . Then the algebra homomorphism  $\mu_\rho$  induces, and is induced by, a morphism  $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ , called the moment map associated to  $\rho$ .  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable ideals  $I \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]$  correspond to  $\mathfrak{g}$ -stable closed subschemes  $Z \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Thus, we have  $A/\mu_\rho(I) \cdot A = \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(Z)]$ . So, the Hamiltonian reduction algebra is  $\mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(Z)]^\mathfrak{g}$ , the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant regular functions on  $\mu^{-1}(Z)$ .

Let  $G$  be a connected algebraic group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In such a case,  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariants =  $G$ -invariants. Thus, we have

$$(A/\mu_\rho(I) \cdot A)^\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(Z)]^G = \mathbb{C}[\mu^{-1}(Z)//G], \quad (2.1.2)$$

where  $//$  denotes a categorical quotient by  $G$ .

Usually, one takes  $Z$  to be a closed coadjoint orbit, for example, a fixed point of the  $G$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Observe that the differential of any Lie group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  gives a linear function  $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is fixed by the coadjoint  $G$ -action. Conversely, if the group  $G$  is connected, then any Lie algebra homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is automatically fixed by the coadjoint  $G$ -action.

**2.2.** Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $Y$  be a smooth manifold. Given a (smooth)  $G$ -action on  $Y$ , there is an associated Lie algebra map  $\text{act} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Vector fields on } Y$ . For  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the value of the vector field  $\text{act}(u)$  at a point  $y \in Y$  is the tangent vector  $\text{act}_y(u) \in T_y Y$  corresponding to the 'infinitesimal  $u$ -action' on  $Y$ . Associated with the  $G$ -action on  $Y$ , there is a natural  $G$ -action on  $T^*Y$ .

The total space  $T^*Y$ , of the cotangent bundle has the canonical symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  and the  $G$ -action on  $T^*Y$  respects the symplectic 2-form. Moreover, it is a Hamiltonian with moment map

$$\mu : T^*Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad \alpha \mapsto \mu(\alpha), \quad \text{defined by the equation } \langle \mu(\alpha), u \rangle = \langle \alpha, \text{act}_y(u) \rangle, \quad (2.2.1)$$

for any  $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , and any covector  $\alpha \in T_y^*Y$ . We write  $d_\alpha \mu : T_\alpha(T^*Y) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  for the differential of the moment map at the point  $\alpha$  and  $\text{act}_\alpha^\top$  for the transpose of the linear map  $\text{act}_\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_\alpha(T^*Y)$ .

The following properties of the map (2.2.1) are straightforward consequences of the definitions.

**Proposition 2.2.2.** (i) *The moment map is  $G$ -equivariant, i.e. it intertwines the  $G$ -action on  $T^*Y$  and the coadjoint  $G$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .*

(ii) *For any  $\alpha \in T^*Y$ , the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_\alpha(T^*Y) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\omega} & T_\alpha^*(T^*Y) \\ & \searrow d_\alpha \mu & \swarrow \text{act}_\alpha^\top \\ & & \mathfrak{g}^* \end{array}$$

(iii) *Writing  $T_Z^*Y$  for the conormal bundle of a submanifold  $Z \subset Y$ , one has*

$$\mu^{-1}(0) = \bigcup_{Z \in Y/G} T_Z^*Y. \quad (2.2.3)$$

Here, the horizontal map in the diagram of part (ii) is the isomorphism induced by the symplectic form and, in part (iii),  $Y/G$  stands for the set of  $G$ -orbits on  $Y$ .

*Remark 2.2.4.* Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Every coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$  comes equipped with a canonical  $G$ -equivariant symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  (Kirillov-Kostant 2-form). The moment map for the  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}$  reduces to the tautological imbedding  $\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

An old result of Kostant says that, for any connected group  $G$  and a symplectic manifold  $X$  with a Hamiltonian transitive  $G$ -action, the corresponding moment map  $\mu : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  must be a finite covering.  $\diamond$

From formula (2.2.3) one easily derives the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.5.** *Assume that the Lie group  $G$  acts freely on  $Y$ , and that the orbit space  $Y/G$  is a well defined smooth manifold. Then,*

- *The  $G$ -action on  $T^*Y$  is free, and the moment map (2.2.1) is a submersion.*
- *For any coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the orbit space  $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O})/G$  has a natural structure of smooth symplectic manifold.*
- *For  $\mathcal{O} = \{0\}$ , there is, in addition, a canonical symplectomorphism*

$$T^*(Y/G) \cong \mu^{-1}(0)/G. \quad (2.2.6)$$

Formula (2.2.6) explains the importance of the zero fiber of the moment map. Later on, we will consider quotients of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  by a group  $G$  in situations where the group action on  $Y$  is no longer free, so the naive orbit set  $Y/G$  can not be equipped with a reasonable structure of a manifold. In those cases, various GIT type quotients of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  by  $G$  serve as substitutes for the cotangent bundle on a nonexistent space  $Y/G$ .

More generally, let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be a fixed point of the coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then, fiber  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)$  is necessarily a  $G$ -stable subvariety, by Proposition 2.2.2(i). The varieties of that form play the role of ‘twisted cotangent bundles’ on  $Y/G$ . These varieties share many features of the zero fiber of the moment map.

The above discussion was in the framework of differential geometry, where ‘manifold’ means a  $C^\infty$ -manifold. There are similar constructions and results in the algebraic geometric framework where  $G$  now stands for a linear algebraic group and  $Y$  stands for a  $G$ -variety.

*Example 2.2.7.* Let  $G$  be a complex connected semisimple group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a nilpotent  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$ . Further, let  $e_u$  be the *Euler field*, the vector field on  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced by the infinitesimal  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\mathbb{C}^\times \ni z : x \mapsto z^2 \cdot x$ . The Euler field is tangent to the variety  $\mathcal{O}$  since any nilpotent  $G$ -orbit is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable, thanks to the Jacobson-Morozov theorem, cf. Example 5.2.1. Thus,  $\beta := i_{e_u}\omega$  is a well-defined  $G$ -invariant 1-form on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then, one easily checks that  $\omega = d\beta$ , so the symplectic form on any nilpotent orbit is an exact form.  $\diamond$

The following elementary result will be quite useful in applications to quiver varieties.

**Lemma 2.2.8.** *Let a connected group  $G$  act on a symplectic manifold  $M$ , and let  $\mu$  be an associated moment map. Then, we have*

(i) *For  $\alpha \in Y$ , the following maps give a selfdual complex of vector spaces:*

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{act}_\alpha} T_\alpha M \xrightarrow{d_\alpha \mu} \mathfrak{g}^*, \quad (2.2.9)$$

*The symplectic form  $\omega$  descends to a non-degenerate bilinear form on  $\text{Ker}(d_\alpha \mu) / \text{Im}(\text{act}_\alpha)$ , the middle cohomology.*

(ii) *Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  be a fixed point of the coadjoint action of  $G$  and  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)$  the corresponding scheme theoretic fiber of  $\mu$ . Then,  $\alpha \in \mu^{-1}(\lambda)$  is a smooth point of the scheme  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\alpha$  has finite isotropy in  $G$ . In such a case, we have:*

- *In (2.2.9), the map  $\text{act}_\alpha$  is injective and the map  $d_\alpha \mu$  is surjective.*
- *The tangent space to the quotient variety  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G$  (if it exists) at the point corresponding to  $\alpha$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Ker}(d_\alpha \mu) / \text{Im}(\text{act}_\alpha)$  and we have:*

$$\dim(\mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G) = \dim M - 2 \dim G.$$

*Moreover, the symplectic form on  $M$  induces a symplectic 2-form on  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G$ .*

*Proof.* We note that the selfduality in part (i) refers to the isomorphism  $T_\alpha M \cong T_\alpha^* M$  provided by the symplectic form. With this in mind, the selfduality statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2.2(ii). Observe further that composite map  $d_\alpha \mu \circ \text{act}_\alpha$  may be identified with the infinitesimal coadjoint  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . The point  $\lambda$  is fixed by the coadjoint action by the assumptions of the lemma. We conclude that  $d_\alpha \mu \circ \text{act}_\alpha = 0$  and part (i) follows.

To prove (ii), write  $G^\alpha \subset G$  for the isotropy group of the point  $\alpha$ . Clearly, we have  $\text{Lie } G^\alpha = \text{Ker}(\text{act}_\alpha)$ . Thus, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} G^\alpha \text{ is finite} &\iff \text{Lie } G^\alpha = 0 \\ &\iff \text{Ker}(\text{act}_\alpha) = 0 \\ &\iff d_\alpha \mu \text{ is surjective} \quad (\text{by the selfduality in (i)}). \\ &\iff \alpha \text{ is a smooth point of } \mu^{-1}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that  $d_\alpha \mu$ , the differential of the moment map, is surjective by (i), we compute

$$\dim(\mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G) = \dim \mu^{-1}(\lambda) - \dim G = (\dim M - \dim G) - \dim G = \dim M - 2 \dim G.$$

The last claim of part (ii) follows since the tangent space to  $\mu^{-1}(\lambda)/G$  at the image of the point  $\alpha$  is isomorphic to  $T_\alpha(\mu^{-1}(\lambda))/T_\alpha(G \cdot \alpha)$ . We leave details to the reader.  $\square$

### 2.3. Deustermaat-Heckman Theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** *The period map  $\mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow H^2(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\chi))$  is an affine linear map, that is  $d(\text{Per})_\chi$  does not depend on the point  $\chi$ .*

We consider the principal  $T$ -bundle  $\bar{X}$  as in

$$X \xrightarrow{T} X/T = \bar{X} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \mathfrak{t}^*.$$

The first Chern class is an element  $c_1(X, X/T) \in H^2(X/T) \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ .

*Claim 2.3.2.* The map  $d(\text{Per})_\chi : \mathfrak{t}^* \rightarrow H^2(\mu^{-1}(\chi))$  is given by

$$d(\text{Per})_\chi(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, c_1(X, X/T)|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)} \rangle.$$

Note that since  $X/T \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$  is a smooth fiber bundle and  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  is contractible the restriction maps  $H^2(X/T) \rightarrow H^2(\mu^\chi)$  are isomorphisms for all  $\chi \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ . Here, the period map sends  $\chi$  to  $[\omega'|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)}] \in H^2(\mu^{-1}(\chi))$ , where  $\omega'$  is the relative symplectic form  $\omega' \in \Omega^2(\bar{X}/\mathfrak{t}^*)$ .

*Proof sketch for  $T = \mathbb{C}^*$ .* Write  $\bar{X} = X/T$ . Since the action of  $T$  on  $X$  is free,  $H_T^\bullet(X) = H^\bullet(\bar{X})$ . We will use the de Rham construction of equivariant cohomology. Consider  $(\Omega_X^\bullet[u])^T$  where  $u$  is a formal variable of degree  $r$  and  $\Omega_X^\bullet$  is the sheaf of differential forms. We endow this with the differential  $d_T = d_{dR} + ui\xi$  where  $\xi \in \Gamma(X, T_X)$  is an infinitesimal generator for the action. Now  $H^*(\Omega_X[u]^T, d_T) = H_T^*(X)$ . Of course  $d_T(u) = 0$  and the image of  $[u]$  in  $H_T^*(X) = H^\bullet(\bar{X})$  is the first Chern class of the bundle  $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(\Omega_X[u]^T, d_T) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H_T^*(X) = H^\bullet(\bar{X}) \\ u &\longmapsto c_1[X \rightarrow \bar{X}]. \end{aligned}$$

Write  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  for the generator of  $\mathfrak{t}^* = \mathbb{C}$  dual to  $\xi$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega^2(X)$  be the symplectic form and  $\omega' \in \Omega^2(\bar{X}/\mathfrak{t}^*)$  be the relative symplectic form. Now we map

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' = \text{Per} : \mathfrak{t}^* &\longrightarrow H^2(\bar{\mu}^{-1}(\chi)) \\ \chi &\longmapsto [\omega'_\chi]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we identify  $[\omega'_\chi]$  with a class in  $H_T^2(\mu^{-1}(\chi))$ . We may consider  $\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)}$ . Now

$$d_T \omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)} = (d + ui\xi)\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)} = ui\xi\omega|_{\mu^{-1}(\chi)}.$$

Since the  $T$ -action is Hamiltonian,  $i_\xi\omega = dH(\xi)$  and by definition  $H(\xi) = \mu^*(t)$ . Hence  $i_\xi\omega = \mu^*(dt)$ .

We recall the definition of the Gauss-Manin connection. To find  $\frac{\partial \omega'}{\partial t}$  we lift  $\omega'$  to a class  $\omega$  in  $\Omega_X^\bullet[u]^T$ , differentiate it to  $d_T \omega = u\mu^* dt$ , then plug in  $\mu^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  giving  $u$  since  $\langle \mu^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \mu^* dt \rangle = 1$ . This is the Chern class of the principal  $T$ -bundle  $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ . □

## 3. DEFORMATIONS AND QUANTIZATIONS OF POISSON SCHEMES

**3.1. Basic idea.** The idea of *quantization* may be illustrated by the following example:

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite dimensional Lie algebra with Lie bracket  $[-, -]$ . be a Lie bracket on a finite dimensional vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, for any scalar  $\hbar \in \mathbb{k}$  the paring  $[-, -]_\hbar := \hbar \cdot [-, -]$  is also a Lie bracket on the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So, we get a 1-parameter family  $\mathfrak{g}_\hbar$  of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_\hbar$  with the same underlying vector space and such that  $\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar=1}$  is the original Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar=0}$  is an abelian Lie algebra. Therefore, the algebra  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$ , the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , becomes a member of a 1-parameter family  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}_\hbar$  of associative algebras such that  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar=0} \cong \text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$  is a commutative algebra.

Intuitively, one views  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$  as a noncommutative deformation, aka quantization, of the commutative algebra  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$ .

The notion of ‘deformation’ may be formalized as follows.

**Definition.** Fix an associative (not necessarily commutative)  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra  $A_0$ . Let  $R$  be a finitely generated commutative  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{m}$  a  $\mathbb{k}$ -point of  $\text{Spec } R$ , i.e. a maximal ideal of  $R$  such that  $R/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{k}$ .

- A flat deformation of  $A_0$  over  $R$  is a flat associative  $R$ -algebra  $A$  equipped with an isomorphism  $A/\mathfrak{m}A \cong A_0$ , of  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras.
- An  $n$ -th order 1-parameter deformation of  $A_0$  is a flat (equivalently, free)  $\mathbb{k}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ -algebra  $A$  equipped with an isomorphism  $A/\mathfrak{m}A \cong A_0$ , of  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras.
- A formal 1-parameter deformation of  $A_0$  is an algebra of the form  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{proj } A_n$ , an inverse limit of  $\mathbb{k}[t]$ -algebras such that  $A_n$  is an  $n$ -th order 1-parameter deformation of  $A_0$ . Giving a formal 1-parameter deformation of  $A_0$  amounts to giving a flat  $\mathbb{k}[[t]]$ -algebra  $A$ , which is complete in the  $(t)$ -adic topology and is equipped with an isomorphism  $A/tA \cong A_0$ , of  $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras.

A fundamental relation between 1-parameter deformations and Poisson algebras is provided by following result

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a first order deformation of a commutative algebra  $A_0$ . Then, we have*

(i) *The assignment*

$$A \times A \rightarrow A, \quad a \times b \mapsto \left( \frac{ab-ba}{t} \right) \text{ mod } tA.$$

*descends to a well-defined map  $A/tA \times A/tA \rightarrow A/tA$ . Transporting this map via the given isomorphism  $A/tA = A_0$  one obtains a skew-symmetric bilinear pairing  $\{-, -\} : A_0 \times A_0 \rightarrow A_0$  that satisfies the Leibniz identity (1.1.1).*

(iii) *If the first order deformation  $A$  can be lifted to a second order deformation, then the Jacobi identity holds, so the pairing  $\{-, -\}$  gives  $A_0$  the structure of a Poisson algebra.*

To put the above example with enveloping algebras in the setting of Definition 3.1 we let  $\hbar$  be an indeterminate, write  $T\mathfrak{g}$  for the tensor algebra of the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and put

$$\mathcal{U}_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g} := \frac{T\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{k}[\hbar]}{(x \otimes y - y \otimes x - \hbar \cdot [x, y], x, y \in \mathfrak{g})}$$

The algebra  $\mathcal{U}_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}$  is a flat 1-parameter deformation of  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$  over  $R := \mathbb{k}[\hbar]$ , which is a rigorous substitute for the ‘family’  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar}$ . In particular, the construction of Proposition 3.1.1 gives  $\text{Sym } \mathfrak{g}$  the canonical Poisson algebra structure. The corresponding Poisson bracket satisfies  $\{x, y\} := [x, y]$  for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and it is uniquely determined by this formula via the Leibniz rule.

The above setting of 1-parameter quantizations is quite restrictive. It is not very obvious how to generalize it in the most correct way. Think of the following two *different* reasonable settings for deformation problem in Number theory. Fix a scheme  $X$  over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, one can look for:

1) Flat families  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ , with an isomorphism  $f^{-1}(0) \cong X$ .

or

2) Lifts of  $X$  to a flat scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , the  $p$ -adic integers.

These problems have very different solutions.

In the quantization setting, we would like to mimic the second of the above deformation problems as follows.

**3.2. Deformations in the complex analytic setting.** Throughout the paper, we write  $H^*(-) := H^*(-, \mathbb{C})$  for cohomology with  $\mathbb{C}$ -coefficients. We use similar notation  $H_*(-) = H_*(-, \mathbb{C})$  for homology.

Let  $X$  be a  $C^\infty$ -manifold. A holomorphic structure on  $X$  determines and is determined by a  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator satisfying an integrability condition  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ . The corresponding sheaf of holomorphic functions is then defined as a subsheaf of the sheaf of  $C^\infty$ -functions formed by the functions annihilated by  $\bar{\partial}$ .

Now, fix a holomorphic structure on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{hol}$ , resp.  $\mathcal{T}_{hol}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{hol}^*$ , be the sheaf of holomorphic functions, resp. holomorphic tangent and cotangent sheaf, on  $X$ . The space of differential  $C^\infty$ -forms on  $X$  of degree  $n$  has the  $(p, q)$ -decomposition  $\bigoplus_{p+q=n} \Omega^{p,q}(X)$ . Given a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , of  $\mathcal{O}_{hol}$ -modules, we let  $\Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{F})$  denote the space (possibly infinite dimensional) of global sections of the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{hol}} \Omega_{hol}^{p,q}$ . The  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator corresponding to our holomorphic structure gives, for each  $p$ , the Dolbeault complex

$$\Omega^{p,\bullet} : 0 \rightarrow \Omega^{p,0}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,1}(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p,2}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

The Dolbeault theorem says that

$$H^q(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^q(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(\mathcal{F}), \bar{\partial}) \quad (3.2.1)$$

A formal 1-parameter deformation of the given holomorphic structure on  $X$  is determined by a deformed  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator of the form  $\bar{\partial}_t = \bar{\partial} + \phi_t$ , where  $\phi_t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \phi_n$  is a formal power series with coefficients  $\phi_n \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}_{hol})$ . So, in a local holomorphic coordinates each of the  $\phi_n$ 's has the form

$$\sum_{i,j,k} a_{i,j,k}(z, \bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} dz_j d\bar{z}_j$$

we have where  $a_{i,j,k}$  are some  $C^\infty$ -functions. Since  $\bar{\partial}^2 = 0$ , the integrability condition for the operator  $\bar{\partial}_t$  reads

$$0 = \bar{\partial}_t^2 = \bar{\partial}\phi + \frac{1}{2}[\phi, \phi], \quad \phi \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}_{hol}). \quad (3.2.2)$$

Now, let  $\Pi \in \wedge^2 \mathcal{T}_{hol}$  be a holomorphic bivector. Contraction with  $\Pi$  gives a morphism

$$i_\Pi : H^1(X, \Omega_{hol}^1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X). \quad (3.2.3)$$

In terms of Dolbeault complexes contraction with  $\Pi$  gives maps

$$\sigma : \Omega^{p+1,q}(X) = \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}_{hol}^*) \rightarrow \Omega^{p,q}(\mathcal{T}_{hol}) = \Omega^{p-1,q}(X), \forall p, q.$$

In particular, for  $\nu \in \Omega^{1,1}(X)$  we have  $i_\Pi \nu \in \Omega^{0,1}(\mathcal{T}_{hol})$ .

**Theorem 3.2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold such that  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_{hol}) = 0$  and  $\Pi$  a holomorphic Poisson bivector on  $X$ . Then, for any class  $[\phi_1] \in H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$  in the image of the map (3.2.3) there exists a formal deformation  $\bar{\partial}_t = \bar{\partial} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \phi_n$  of the complex structure such that the formula*

$$\{f, g\}_t := \langle \Pi, df \wedge dg \rangle$$

*gives a Poisson bracket on  $C^\infty(X)[[t]]$  which is holomorphic with respect to the complex structure  $\bar{\partial}_t$  for 'all  $t$ '.*

*Furthermore, if  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{hol}) = 0$  then such a deformation is unique up to isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The assumption that  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_{hol}) = 0$  implies that any class in  $H^1(X, \Omega_{hol}^1)$  has a Dolbeault representative  $\nu \in \Omega^{1,1}$  such that  $d\nu = 0$ . Thus, we may assume that the class  $[\phi_1]$  in the theorem is represented by  $\sigma(\nu)$  such that  $d\nu = 0$ , that is,  $\partial\nu = \bar{\partial}\nu = 0$ .

We first find  $\phi_t$  that satisfies (3.2.2). Expanding each side of this equation in powers of  $t$  and taking the linear term in  $t$  we see that we must have that  $\bar{\partial}\phi_1 = 0$ . This is indeed true since

$\bar{\partial}\phi_1 = \bar{\partial}\sigma(\nu) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}\nu) = 0$ , because  $\bar{\partial}$  commutes with  $\sigma$ . Taking terms involving  $t^2$  gives the equation for each

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_2 = [\phi_1, \phi_1] = [\sigma(\nu), \sigma(\nu)]. \quad (3.2.5)$$

To find  $\phi_2$ , we apply (1.4.9) in the case  $\alpha = \beta = \nu$ . To be able to do so, we treat all  $\bar{z}$ -variables as auxiliary parameters, replace  $d$  by  $\partial$ , and view  $\nu$  as a 1-form wrt  $z$ -variables. Since  $\partial\nu = 0$ , the 2d and 3d terms in the RHS of the identity in (1.4.9) vanish and we obtain

$$[\phi_1, \phi_1] = [\sigma(\nu), \sigma(\nu)] = \sigma(\partial(\sigma(\nu^2))).$$

Note that  $\nu$  being a form of total degree 2, the 4-form  $\nu^2 := \nu \wedge \nu$  is not necessarily zero. Note also  $\sigma(\nu^2) \in \Omega^{0,2}(X)$ , so  $\sigma(\partial(\sigma(\nu^2))) \in \Omega^{1,2}(\mathcal{T}_{hol})$  which is the degree we want. Comparing with (3.2.5) we see that it remains to show that  $\sigma(\partial(\sigma(\nu^2)))$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact, i.e. that there exists  $\phi_2 \in \Omega^{1,1}(X)$  such that  $\sigma(\nu^2) = \bar{\partial}\phi_2$ . Since  $\bar{\partial}$  commutes with  $\sigma$  and  $\bar{\partial}\nu = 0$  we get  $\bar{\partial}(\sigma(\nu^2)) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}(\nu^2)) = 2\sigma(\bar{\partial}\nu \wedge \nu) = 0$ . Hence, using that  $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{hol}) = 0$  and applying the Dolbeault theorem we deduce that any  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(0, 2)$ -form is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact. Hence one can find  $\beta$  such that  $\sigma(\nu^2) = \bar{\partial}\beta$ . We put  $\phi_2 = \sigma\partial\beta$ . Thus, using that  $\bar{\partial}$  commutes with both  $\partial$  and  $\sigma$ , we find

$$\bar{\partial}\phi_2 = \bar{\partial}\sigma\partial\beta = \sigma\partial\bar{\partial}\beta = \sigma\partial\sigma(\nu^2) = [\phi_1, \phi_1],$$

as required.

The main trick in solving (3.2.2) for  $\phi_t$  is to look for solutions where each  $\phi_n, n \geq 2$  is of the form  $\phi_n = \sigma(\partial\beta_n)$  for some  $\beta_n \in \Omega^{0,1}(X)$ . Note that  $\phi_2$  does have such a form with  $\beta_2 = \beta$ . So, write  $\beta_t = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \cdot \beta_{n+2}$  and let  $\phi_t = t\sigma\nu + t^2\sigma\partial\beta_t$ . We may treat the  $\bar{z}$ -variables as auxiliary parameters,  $\beta_t$  as a degree zero form in the  $z$ -variables. Then, Corollary 1.4.6 with  $d$  replaced by  $\partial$  applies to such a degree zero form and we deduce  $[\sigma\partial\beta_t, \sigma\partial\beta_t] = \sigma\partial(\{\beta_t, \beta_t\})$ . So, to solve (3.2.2) for  $\phi_t$  it is sufficient to solve

$$\bar{\partial}\beta_t = \frac{1}{2}\{\beta_t, \beta_t\}. \quad (3.2.6)$$

We solve this by finding  $\beta_n$  recursively by induction on  $n$ , where the case  $n = 2$ , the base of induction, has been done already.

So, assume we have constructed all the  $\beta_k, k = 2, \dots, n-1$ , and for any  $m \leq n$  put

$$\gamma_m := \{\beta_1, \beta_{m-1}\} + \{\beta_2, \beta_{m-2}\} + \dots + \{\beta_{m-1}, \beta_1\}.$$

Note, that we have

$$\bar{\partial}\{\beta_i, \beta_j\} = \bar{\partial}\sigma(\partial\beta_i \wedge \partial\beta_j) = \sigma(\bar{\partial}\partial\beta_i \wedge \partial\beta_j) - \sigma(\partial\beta_i \wedge \bar{\partial}\partial\beta_j).$$

Using the definition of  $\gamma_m$  and induction, we know that for all  $m < n$  we have  $\bar{\partial}\partial\beta_m = -\frac{1}{2}\partial\gamma_k$ . Inserting this in the definition of  $\gamma_n$  we find

$$\bar{\partial}\gamma_n = \sum_{i+j+k=n} \{\beta_i, \{\beta_j, \beta_k\}\}.$$

The RHS here vanishes by the Jacobi identity. We deduce that  $\gamma_n$  is  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and hence is  $\bar{\partial}$ -exact since  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_{hol}) = 0$ . Thus, we can find  $\beta_n$  such that  $\gamma_n = 2\bar{\partial}\beta_n$ , that is, such that

$$\bar{\partial}\beta_n = \frac{1}{2}(\{\beta_1, \beta_{n-1}\} + \{\beta_2, \beta_{n-2}\} + \dots + \{\beta_{n-1}, \beta_1\}).$$

This complete the induction step of solving (3.2.6). Thus, we have constructed a complex deformation  $\bar{\partial}_t = \bar{\partial} + \phi_t$ .

Next, we note that since  $\Pi$  is holomorphic, for any holomorphic functions  $f, g$ , one has  $\langle \Pi, \partial f, \partial g \rangle = \langle \Pi, df \wedge dg \rangle$ . The proof of the theorem is completed by showing that for any  $f, g \in C^\infty(X)[[t]]$  such that  $\partial_t f = 0$  and  $\partial_t g = 0$  one also has  $\partial_t(\langle \Pi, df \wedge dg \rangle) = 0$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Deformations of smooth symplectic algebraic varieties.** Let  $X$  be a smooth algebraic symplectic variety with symplectic form  $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$ .

**Definition.** A symplectic deformation of  $X$  over  $S$ , a local Artin scheme, is a deformation  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X$  over  $S$  equipped with a closed relative two form  $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^2)$  and a symplectic isomorphism  $(\mathcal{X}_0, \omega_0) \cong (\mathcal{X}, \omega)$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\text{Def}(S)$  be the set of isomorphism classes of symplectic deformations of  $X$  over  $S$ . Using base change,  $S \mapsto \text{Def}(S)$  becomes a functor from the category of local Artin schemes to sets.

**Theorem 3.3.1.** *If  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  then the functor  $S \mapsto \text{Def}(S)$  is pro-representable by a formal scheme the period map  $\text{Per}$  that sends the symplectic form  $\text{Def}$ . Furthermore, sending the symplectic form to its de Rham cohomology class gives an isomorphism*

$$\text{Def} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{H^2(X, \mathbb{C})}_{\omega},$$

where  $\widehat{H^2(X, \mathbb{C})}_{\omega}$  is a formal completion of the vector space  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  at the point  $[\omega] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

*Remark 3.3.2.* In the more general case where the groups  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  do not vanish for  $i = 1, 2$ , the space  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  has to be replaced by another space involving Hodge filtrations. In more detail, let  $F^{\bullet}\Omega_X^{\bullet}$  denote the Hodge filtration on the de Rham complex defined

$$(F^i\Omega_X^{\bullet})^j = \begin{cases} \Omega_X^j & j \geq i, \\ 0 & j < i. \end{cases}$$

Observe that the form  $\omega$  defines a morphism  $\mathcal{O}_X[-2] \rightarrow F^1\Omega_X^{\bullet}$  in the derived category of sheaves of  $k$ -vector spaces on  $X$ . Taking  $\mathbb{H}^2$  of this map we get a map

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) &= \mathbb{H}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X[-2]) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(X, F^1\Omega_X^{\bullet}), \\ 1 &\longmapsto \omega \end{aligned}$$

where we are denoting the image of 1 as  $\omega$  by abuse of notation. Then the target of the period map should be taken to be a completion of  $\mathbb{H}^2(X, F^1\Omega_X^{\bullet})$  at  $\omega$ .

## 4. SYMPLECTIC SINGULARITIES

**4.1. Nice  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -actions.** The following class of algebraic varieties will play an important role in these lectures.

**Definition.** A *nice action* is a  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action  $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times X \rightarrow X, (z, x) \mapsto zx$  on a quasi-projective variety  $X$  such that  $X^{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}$ , the fixed point set, is projective and the limit  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} zx$  exists (i.e. the map  $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow X, z \mapsto zx$  can be extended to a map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ ) for every  $x \in X$ , i.e. the map  $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow X, z \mapsto zx$ .

- Giving an affine algebraic variety  $X$  with a  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action is equivalent to giving a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded finitely generated commutative algebra  $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Then, the action is nice iff the grading  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$  is nonnegative, i.e.,  $A_i = 0$  for all  $i < 0$  and, moreover, we have  $A_0 = \mathbb{C}$ . In such a case, the point  $o \in X$  that corresponds to the augmentation ideal  $A_+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} A_i$  is the unique  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -fixed point in  $X$ , referred to as the ‘origin’, and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} zx = o$  for any  $x \in X$ .
- In general, for any, not necessarily affine, quasi-projective variety, let  $\mathbb{C}[X] := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . The affine variety  $X^{\text{aff}} := \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X]$  is called the affinization of  $X$ . One has a canonical affinization morphism  $X \rightarrow X^{\text{aff}}$ . A  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action on  $X$  induces a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , hence, a  $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ -action on  $X^{\text{aff}}$ . If the action on  $X$  is nice then so is the action on  $X^{\text{aff}}$ . Moreover, the set  $X^{\mathbb{C}^{\times}}$  maps to the point  $o \in X^{\text{aff}}$ .

In the opposite direction, let  $X$  be a quasi-projective variety with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action such that the action on  $X^{\text{aff}}$  is nice and the affinization morphism  $X \rightarrow X^{\text{aff}}$  is *proper*. Then, the action on  $X$  is nice.

- A special case of the above is the case where  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant resolution of singularities of an affine normal variety  $Y$  with a nice action. This case will be most important for us.

Recall that the fixed point set of a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on a smooth variety is a smooth closed subvariety. Thus,  $F := \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \subset \tilde{X}$  is a smooth closed subvariety. Write  $F_1, \dots, F_r$  for the connected components of  $F$ , and introduce the following sets

$$\Lambda_s := \{z \in \tilde{X} \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(z) \text{ exists, and we have } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(z) \in F_s\}, \quad s = 1, \dots, r. \quad (4.1.1)$$

**Proposition 4.1.2.** *Any smooth variety with a nice action has a Bialynicki-Birula decomposition  $X = \sqcup \Lambda_s$  such that  $\Lambda_s$  are smooth locally closed subvarieties of  $X$  and the map  $x \mapsto \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z(x)$  gives a morphism  $\Lambda_s \rightarrow F_s$  which is isomorphic to a vector bundle on  $F_s$ .*

*Proof.* It was proved in [Bi] that, for a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on a smooth projective variety, each Bialynicki-Birula piece is a smooth, connected, and locally closed subvariety. Our variety  $\tilde{X}$  is not assumed to be projective, in general. Therefore, one first has to consider a compactification of  $\tilde{X}$ . Specifically, it is known that one can always find a smooth projective variety  $Y$ , with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action, that contains  $\tilde{X}$  as a Zariski open and dense,  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable subvariety. Now, we have seen that the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\tilde{X}$  is a contraction to  $F = \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ . It follows that each Bialynicki-Birula piece for the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $Y$  is either entirely contained in  $\tilde{X}$  or in  $Y \setminus \tilde{X}$ . Thus,  $\tilde{X}$  is a union of a certain subcollection of pieces of the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of  $Y$ .  $\square$

4.2. Let  $Y$  be a (possibly singular) variety equipped with an algebraic Poisson structure. In algebraic terms, this means that  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$ , the coordinate ring of  $Y$ , is equipped with a Poisson bracket  $\{-, -\}$ , that is, with a Lie bracket satisfying the Leibniz identity.

Let  $Y$  be a normal variety, let  $Y^{\text{reg}}$  denote the *smooth locus* of  $Y$ , and let  $\omega^{\text{reg}}$  be an algebraic symplectic 2-form on  $Y^{\text{reg}}$ . Since  $\Gamma(Y^{\text{reg}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{reg}}}) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \mathbb{C}[Y^{\text{aff}}]$ , the Poisson bracket on  $\Gamma(Y^{\text{reg}}, \mathcal{O}_{Y^{\text{reg}}})$  induced by the symplectic structure gives the affinization  $Y^{\text{aff}}$  the structure of a Poisson variety. Following Beauville [Bea], one says that  $Y$  has *symplectic singularities* if there exists a resolution (equivalently, for any resolution) of singularities  $\pi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  such that the 2-form  $\pi^*(\omega^{\text{reg}})$ , on  $\pi^{-1}(Y^{\text{reg}})$ , extends to a regular (possibly degenerate) 2-form on the whole of  $\tilde{Y}$ .

It turns out that resolutions of a Poisson variety  $X$  with symplectic singularities enjoy a number of very favorable properties. Specifically, one has the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.1.** *Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities of an affine variety  $X$  with symplectic singularities, and  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\tilde{X})$  the regular extension to  $\tilde{X}$  of the pull-back of the symplectic form on  $X^{\text{reg}}$ . Then, the following holds:*

- (1) *The variety  $X$  has rational singularities, equivalently, we have  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $H^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .*
- (2) *For any  $x \in X$ , the fiber  $\tilde{X}_x$  is an isotropic subvariety of  $\tilde{X}$ , i.e., the restriction of  $\omega$  to the smooth locus of any irreducible component of  $\tilde{X}_x^{\text{red}}$  vanishes.*
- (3) *Any algebraic action on  $X$  of a connected and simply connected semisimple group  $G$  can be canonically lifted to a  $G$ -action on  $\tilde{X}$ .*
- (4) *The Poisson variety  $X$  is a union of finitely many symplectic leaves  $X = \sqcup X_\alpha$ , [K4], and each symplectic leaf  $X_\alpha$  is a locally closed smooth algebraic subvariety of  $X$ , [BG].*

*Proof.* First of all, we observe that since  $X$  is normal we have  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . Also, one has  $H^i(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \cong H^0(X, R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ , since  $X$  is affine. Part (1) follows from these observations thanks to a general theorem by Elkik [El]. For completeness, we provide a self-contained and streamlined proof of that result in Appendix 10.

To prove (2) one uses the following argument due to Wierzbka [W] (extended and completed by Namikawa [N1]).

Let  $\bar{\omega}$  be the complex conjugate of the 2-form  $\omega$ . Thus,  $\bar{\omega}$  is an anti-holomorphic 2-form that gives a Dolbeault cohomology class  $[\bar{\omega}] \in H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$ . The latter class is in fact equal to zero since we have shown that  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = 0$ .

Next let  $x \in X$ . We must prove that the restriction of the 2-form  $\omega$ , equivalently, the restriction of the 2-form  $\bar{\omega}$ , to  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  vanishes. To this end, let  $Y \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(x)$  be a resolution of singularities of the fiber, and write  $f : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  for the composite  $Y \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(x) \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ . Thus,  $f^*\bar{\omega}$  is an anti-holomorphic 2-form on  $Y$  and, in Dolbeault cohomology of  $Y$ , we have  $[f^*\bar{\omega}] = f^*[\bar{\omega}] = 0$ .

On the other hand,  $Y$  is a smooth and projective variety. Hence, by Hodge theory, we have  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0,2}(Y) \subset H^2(Y)$ . It is clear that the Dolbeault cohomology class of the 2-form  $f^*\bar{\omega}$  goes, under this isomorphism, to the de Rham cohomology class of  $f^*\bar{\omega}$ . Thus, in the de Rham cohomology of  $Y$ , we have  $[f^*\bar{\omega}] = 0$ . But any nonzero anti-holomorphic differential form on a Kähler manifold is harmonic, hence gives a nonzero de Rham cohomology class, thanks to Hodge theory. It follows that the 2-form  $f^*\bar{\omega}$  vanishes. We deduce that  $\bar{\omega}|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} = 0$ , and we are done.

Part (3) is a consequence of [GK, Lemma 5.3]. That Lemma implies that the  $G$ -action on  $X$  can be lifted to an infinitesimal action on  $\tilde{X}$  of the Lie algebra  $\text{Lie } G$ . The assumptions on the group  $G$  made in the statement of Theorem 4.2.1(3) then insure that the Lie algebra action can be exponentiated to an action of the group  $G$ .

Part (4) is much harder. We refer to [K4] for a proof. □

4.3. Given an affine Poisson variety  $X$  with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action  $z : x \mapsto z(x)$ , on  $X$ , we say that it is nice wrt to the Poisson structure if there is a (fixed) positive integer  $m > 0$  such that, under the action of any element  $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , the Poisson bivector on  $X$  gets rescaled with weight  $z^{-m}$ .

Let  $X$  be a normal affine Poisson variety with symplectic singularities equipped with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Let  $o \in X$  be the unique  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point.

Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a resolution of singularities. According to Theorem 4.2.1(3), the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$  has a canonical lift to a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\tilde{X}$  that makes  $\pi$  a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant morphism. The fiber  $\tilde{X}_o = \pi^{-1}(o)$  is usually referred to as the *central fiber*. It is clear that  $\tilde{X}_o$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable projective subvariety of  $\tilde{X}$ . Furthermore, the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action provides a homotopy retraction of  $\tilde{X}$  to  $\tilde{X}_o$ . In particular, we have  $H^*(\tilde{X}) \cong H^*(\tilde{X}_o)$ .

4.4. Let  $X$  be an affine normal Poisson variety with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Observe that condition (??) insures that the Poisson bracket makes the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}_X := \mathbb{C}^m[X]$ , the nonzero homogeneous component of minimal positive degree, a finite dimensional Lie algebra. This Lie algebra acts on the commutative algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  by derivations  $\{g, -\}$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_X$ . It is clear that each homogeneous component  $\mathbb{C}^i[X]$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_X$ -stable. It follows that the  $\mathfrak{g}_X$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}^i[X]$  can be exponentiated to an action of a connected algebraic group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_X$ . Thus, one gets a  $G$ -action on  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  by algebra automorphisms. The resulting  $G$ -action on the variety  $X$  is automatically Hamiltonian with moment map  $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_X^*$  being the evaluation map  $\langle \mu(x), g \rangle = j(g)(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{g}_X$ , where  $j : \mathfrak{g}_X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$  is the tautological imbedding.

Interesting examples of the above construction arise from quiver varieties, cf. M. Finkelberg and D. Kubrak [FK]. Another class of examples is provided by the following theorem which is, essentially, a combination of results of the papers [BK], [Fu] and [Pa]

**Theorem 4.4.1.** *The variety  $X$  contains an open dense  $G$ -orbit if and only if there exists a nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and a finite  $G$ -equivariant covering  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ , such that  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$  as a Poisson  $G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ -variety, where  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $z : g \mapsto z^m \cdot g$ . In such a case, the following holds:*

- (i) *The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_X = \mathbb{C}^m[X]$  is semisimple.*
- (ii) *The moment map  $\mu$  is a finite morphism with image  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ , the Zariski closure of the  $G$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^*$ .*
- (iii) *The open  $G$ -orbit in  $X$  goes, via the isomorphism  $X \cong \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ , to the image of the natural imbedding  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathcal{O}}]$ . The restriction of  $\mu$  to this orbit goes to the covering map  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ .*
- (iv) *The Poisson variety  $X$  has symplectic singularities and it is a finite union of  $G$ -orbits, which are the symplectic leaves of  $X$ .*
- (v) *If  $\mathcal{O}$  is not a Richardson orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$  then  $X$  does not have a symplectic resolution.*

*Proof.* Assume  $X$  has an open  $G$ -orbit  $X_0 \subset X$ . Since, any symplectic leaf in  $X$  is  $G$ -stable, it follows that  $X_0$  is an open subset of the open symplectic leaf. Hence, the restriction of the Poisson structure to  $X_0$  makes the latter a symplectic variety with a transitive  $G$ -action. Part (i) is now a consequence of [BrK, Theorem 2.3].

Let  $\mathcal{O} := \mu(X_0)$ . This is a  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathfrak{g}$ , so the map  $\mu : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  must be a finite covering, cf. Remark 2.2.4. Clearly, we have  $\mu(X) = \mu(\overline{X_0}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}}$ . This proves (ii). Also, part (i) follows from [BrK, Theorem 2.3].

To complete the proof of (iii), we must show that  $\mu$  is a finite morphism, equivalently, that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is a finitely generated module over the subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  generated by the subspace  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$ . To this end, let  $\mathbb{Z}/(m) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the cyclic group of  $m$ -th roots of unity. Then, the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$  gives, by restriction, a  $\mathbb{Z}/(m)$ -action. It follows that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is a finitely generated module over  $A := \mathbb{C}[X/(\mathbb{Z}/(m))] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{C}^{i \cdot m}[X]$ , a graded subalgebra in  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . We claim next that the algebra  $A$  is itself generated by the homogeneous component  $\mathbb{C}^m[X]$ . that is, by  $\mathfrak{g}$  since (i). To see this, note that  $A$  is a Poisson subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , by (??). Furthermore, the vector space  $\mathfrak{m} := \bigoplus_{i > 0} \mathbb{C}^{i \cdot \text{cot } m}[X]$ , is a Poisson ideal of  $A$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a finite dimensional graded Lie algebra is a  $\mathbb{Z}/(m)$ -isotypic component of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . Thanks to Hilbert, it follows that  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{(0)} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{C}^{i \cdot \text{cot } m}[X]$ . is a finitely generated graded algebra and, moreover, each  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{(k)}$  is a finitely generated graded  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{(0)}$ -modu. Since This implies that is a finitely generated ideal in that algebra.

Therefore, action on open  $G$ -orbit Let  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  be a nonzero nilpotent element. We write  $G(e)$  for the  $G$ -orbit of  $e$  in  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ , resp.  $G_e$  for the centralizer of  $e$  in  $G$ , and  $G_e^o$  for the identity connected component of the group  $G_e$ . We have a isomorphism  $G(e) \cong G/G_e^o$ , of  $G$ -varieties.  $\square$

*Example 4.4.2.* Fix a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $G$  be a simply connected group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Following Brylinski and Kostant [BrK], we fix a subgroup  $G_e^o \subset H \subset G_e$  and let  $X := (G/H)^{\text{aff}}$  be the affinization of the space  $G/H$ . Thus,  $X$  is a normal affine  $G$ -variety that contains  $G/H$  as a Zariski open dense  $G$ -orbit. We have a chain of  $G$ -equivariant maps  $G/H \rightarrow G/G_e \xrightarrow{\sim} G(e) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , where the first map is the natural projection. The composite of the above maps induces, by taking affinizations,

So, taking a pull-back of the canonical symplectic 2-form on  $G(e)$  makes  $G/H$  a symplectic homogeneous  $G$ -variety. The corresponding Poisson bracket on  $\mathbb{C}[G/H] = \mathbb{C}[X]$  gives  $X$  a structure of Poisson  $G$ -variety.

There is a canonical nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$  defined as follows, [BrK]. We choose an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $\langle e, h, f \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Associated with that triple, there is an group imbedding  $SL_2 \hookrightarrow G$ . Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow G$  be the restriction of this imbedding to the diagonal torus  $\mathbb{C}^\times \subset SL_2$ . One checks that

$\gamma(z)H\gamma(z)^{-1} = H$ , for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Therefore, the map  $gH \mapsto g\gamma(z)H$  gives a well-defined  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $G/H$ . The constructed action commutes with the  $G$ -action and, moreover, it does not depend on the choice of an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Finally, the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $G/H$  gives a grading on the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[G/H]$ , whence, a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$ . One of the main results of [BrK] reads:

**Theorem 4.4.3.** (i) The grading  $\mathbb{C}[X] = \bigoplus_k \mathbb{C}^k[X]$ , satisfies conditions (??)-(??) with  $m = 2$ .  
(ii) The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_X = \mathbb{C}^2[X]$  is semisimple.  
(iii) The map  $\mu^*$  gives a Lie algebra imbedding  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_X$ .

A striking observation of Brylinski and Kostant was that, for some choices of the nilpotent  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  and the group  $H$ , the imbedding  $\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_X$  is *not* a bijection. Thus, the triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, e, H)$  gives rise to a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_X$  that is strictly larger than  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In [BrK], one can find a complete classification of all such triples  $(\mathfrak{g}, e, H)$ .

The results of [BrK] has an interesting connection to a conjectural classification of smooth projective Fano varieties with a contact structure, see [Bea2].

#### 4.5. A Lagrangian subvariety.

We recall the following standard

**Definition.** Let  $Y$  be a smooth variety with an algebraic symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ . A locally closed subvariety  $\Lambda \subset Y$  is called *Lagrangian* if the tangent space to  $\Lambda$  at any smooth point  $\phi \in \Lambda$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $T_\phi Y$  (the tangent space to  $M$  at  $\phi$ ) with respect to the symplectic 2-form  $\omega$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a smooth symplectic variety with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action,  $X$  an arbitrary affine  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -variety  $X$ , and  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  a proper  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  (not necessarily a symplectic resolution). We let  $\Lambda := [\pi^{-1}(X^{\mathbb{C}^\times})]_{\text{red}}$  denote the preimage of the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point set, equipped with reduced scheme structure. Thus,  $\Lambda \subset \tilde{X}$  is a reduced closed subscheme.

**Theorem 4.5.1.** *Assume that the following holds:*

- (i) The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$  is a contraction to  $X^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ , and
  - (ii) The 2-form  $\omega$  has weight 1 with respect to the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action, i.e., for any  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ , we have  $t^*(\omega) = t \cdot \omega$ .
- Then, each irreducible component of  $\Lambda$  is a Lagrangian subvariety.

**Lemma 4.5.2.** *The set  $F$  is contained in  $\pi^{-1}(X^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ , and there is a set-theoretic decomposition  $\Lambda = \bigsqcup_{1 \leq s \leq r} \Lambda_s$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant morphism, we have  $\pi(\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \subset X^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ . In particular, one has  $F \subset \pi^{-1}(X^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ .

Now, fix  $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{X}$  and let  $z = \pi(\tilde{z}) \in X$ . We consider the maps  $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow X$ ,  $t \mapsto t(z)$ , resp.  $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ ,  $t \mapsto t(\tilde{z})$ . Assume first that  $\tilde{z} \in \Lambda$ . Then,  $z$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point and  $t(\tilde{z}) \in \pi^{-1}(z)$  for any  $t$ . It follows, since  $\pi^{-1}(z)$  is a complete variety, that the map  $t \mapsto t(\tilde{z})$  extends to a regular map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ . Thus, for any  $\tilde{z} \in \pi^{-1}(X^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ , the limit of  $t(\tilde{z})$ ,  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , exists and we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(\tilde{z}) \in F$ .

We conclude that  $\Lambda \subset \cup_{1 \leq s \leq r} \Lambda_s$ .

Next let  $\tilde{z} \in \cup_{1 \leq s \leq r} \Lambda_s$ , so we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(\tilde{z}) \in F$ . It follows that the map  $t \mapsto \pi(t(\tilde{z})) = t(z)$  also has a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, the map  $\mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow X$ ,  $t \mapsto t(z)$  extends to the point  $t = \infty$ . On the other hand, this map extends to the point  $t = 0$ , thanks to assumption (i) of Theorem 4.5.1. Therefore, we get a regular map  $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X$ . Such a map must be a constant map, since  $X$  is affine. Thus, we must have  $\pi(\tilde{z}) = z \in X^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ . We conclude that  $\tilde{z} \in \Lambda$ . The result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.3.** We have shown that the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action provides a contraction of the variety  $\tilde{X}$  to the fixed point set  $F$ .

4.6. Theorem 4.5.1 is clearly a consequence of the following more precise result

**Proposition 4.6.1.** *Each piece  $\Lambda_s$  is a smooth, connected, locally closed Lagrangian subvariety of  $\tilde{X}$ . Furthermore, the closures  $\bar{\Lambda}_s$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, r$ , are precisely the irreducible components of  $\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a connected component  $F_s$  and a point  $\phi \in F_s$ . The tangent space to  $\tilde{X}$  at  $\phi$  has a weight decomposition with respect to the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action

$$T_\phi \tilde{X} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_m, \quad (4.6.2)$$

such that  $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  acts on the direct summand  $H_m$  via multiplication by  $t^m$ . In particular, we see that  $H_0 = T_\phi F$ , is the tangent space to the fixed point set  $F$ .

Recall that the symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  on  $\tilde{X}$  has weight  $+1$  with respect to the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Hence, a pair of direct summands  $H_k$  and  $H_l$  are  $\omega$ -orthogonal unless  $k + l = 1$ ; furthermore, the 2-form gives a perfect pairing  $\omega : H_m \times H_{1-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We see, in particular, that  $\bigoplus_{m \leq 0} H_m$  is a Lagrangian subspace in  $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H_m$ .

To complete the proof, pick  $z \in \Lambda_s$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t(z) = \phi$ . It is clear that, for the curve  $t \mapsto t(z)$  to have a limit as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the tangent vector to the curve at  $t = \infty$  must belong to the span of nonpositive weight subspaces. In other words, we must have

$$\left. \frac{d(t(z))}{dt} \right|_{t=\infty} \in \bigoplus_{m < 0} H_m.$$

Since  $\Lambda_s$  is smooth at  $\phi$ , we deduce the equation  $T_\phi \Lambda_s = \bigoplus_{m \leq 0} H_m$ . It follows, by the above, that  $T_\phi \Lambda_s$  is a Lagrangian subspace in  $T_\phi \tilde{X}$ , and the first statement of the proposition is proved.

Now, the decomposition of Lemma 4.5.2 presents  $\Lambda$  as a union of irreducible varieties of equal dimensions, and the second statement of the proposition follows.  $\square$

A similar argument yields the following analogue of Proposition 4.6.1 in the case where the symplectic form has weight 0 rather than weight 1.

**Proposition 4.6.3.** *Let  $X$  be symplectic variety with a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action that the symplectic 2-form on  $X$  is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -invariant, the set  $X^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  is finite, and the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X$  is a contraction to  $X^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ . Let  $\Lambda_s$  be defined by formula (4.1.1), as before.*

*With these assumptions, all the statements of Proposition 4.6.1 hold true.*

## 5. SYMPLECTIC RESOLUTIONS

5.1. Recall that any smooth symplectic algebraic manifold carries a natural Poisson structure.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be an irreducible affine normal Poisson variety. A resolution of singularities  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is called a *symplectic resolution* of  $X$  provided  $\tilde{X}$  is a smooth complex algebraic symplectic manifold (with algebraic symplectic 2-form) such that the pull-back morphism  $\pi^* : \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$  is a Poisson algebra morphism.

Obviously, any Poisson variety that admits a symplectic resolution has symplectic singularities. A converse does not hold: there are many varieties with symplectic singularities which do not have a symplectic resolution.

5.2. We discuss now several especially important examples of symplectic resolutions.

*Example 5.2.1 (Slodowy slices).* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and  $\langle e, h, f \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}$  an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple for a nilpotent element  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Write  $\mathfrak{z}_f$  for the centralizer of  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  for the *nilcone*, the subvariety of all nilpotent elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Slodowy has shown that the intersection  $\mathcal{S}_e := \mathcal{N} \cap (e + \mathfrak{z}_f)$

is reduced, normal, and that there is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\mathcal{S}_e$  that contracts  $\mathcal{S}_e$  to  $e$ , cf. eg. [CG], §3.7 for an exposition.

The variety  $\mathcal{S}_e$  is called the *Slodowy slice* for  $e$  (the variety  $\mathcal{S}_e$  has been known already to Harish-Chandra; it was studied in detail and extensively used by P. Slodowy [Sl]).

Identify  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by means of the Killing form, and view  $\mathcal{S}_e$  as a subvariety in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then, the standard Kirillov-Kostant Poisson structure on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  induces a Poisson structure on  $\mathcal{S}_e$ . The symplectic leaves in  $\mathcal{S}_e$  are obtained by intersecting  $e + \mathfrak{z}_f$ , an affine space, with the various nilpotent conjugacy classes in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the flag variety for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is, the variety of all Borel subalgebras in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $T^*\mathcal{B}$  be the cotangent bundle on  $\mathcal{B}$ . There is a standard resolution of singularities  $\pi : T^*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ , the *Springer resolution*, cf. eg. [CG, ch. 3]. It is known that  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_e := \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_e)$  is a smooth submanifold in  $T^*\mathcal{B}$  and the canonical symplectic 2-form on the cotangent bundle restricts to a nondegenerate, hence symplectic, 2-form on  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_e$ . Moreover, restricting  $\pi$  to  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_e$  gives a symplectic resolution  $\pi_e : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_e$ , see [Sl] and also [Gi2], Proposition 2.1.2. The central fiber of that resolution is  $\pi_e^{-1}(e) = \mathcal{B}_e$ , the fixed point set of the natural action of the element  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$  on the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}$ .

In the (somewhat degenerate) case  $e = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{S}_e = \mathcal{N}$ , and the corresponding symplectic resolution reduces to the Springer resolution itself.

**Example 5.2.2 (Symplectic orbifolds).** Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a finite dimensional symplectic vector space and  $\Gamma \subset Sp(V, \omega)$  a finite subgroup. The orbifold  $X := V/\Gamma$  is an affine normal algebraic variety, and the symplectic structure on  $V$  induces a Poisson structure on  $X$ . Such a variety  $X$  may or may not have a symplectic resolution  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , in general. This holds, for instance, in the case of *Kleinian singularities*, that is the case where  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $X := \mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$ . Then, a symplectic resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  does exist. It is the canonical minimal resolution, see [Kro].

Recall that there is a correspondence, the McKay correspondence, between the (conjugacy classes of) finite subgroups  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  and Dynkin graphs of **A**, **D**, **E** types, cf. [CS]. It turns out that  $\mathbb{C}^2/\Gamma$  is isomorphic, as a Poisson variety, to the Slodowy slice  $\mathcal{S}_e$ , where  $e$  is a *subregular* nilpotent in the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated with the Dynkin diagram of the corresponding type.

Another important example is the case where  $\Gamma \subset GL(\mathfrak{h})$  is a complex reflection group and  $V := \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^* = T^*\mathfrak{h}$  is the cotangent bundle of the vector space  $\mathfrak{h}$  equipped with the canonical symplectic structure of the cotangent bundle. We get a natural imbedding  $\Gamma \subset Sp(V)$ . One can show that, among all irreducible finite Weyl groups  $\Gamma$ , only those of types **A**, **B**, and **C**, have the property that the orbifold  $(\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/\Gamma$  admits a symplectic resolution, see [GK], [Go].

In type **A**, we have  $\Gamma = S_n$ , the Symmetric group acting diagonally on  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  (two copies of the permutation representation). Thus,  $(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n)/S_n = (\mathbb{C}^2)^n/S_n$  is the  $n$ -th symmetric power of the plane  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The orbifold  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^n/S_n$  has a natural resolution of singularities  $\pi : \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2)^n/S_n$ , where  $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2)$  stands for the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The map  $\pi$ , called Hilbert-Chow morphism, turns out to be a symplectic resolution, cf. [Na3], §1.4.

**Example 5.2.3 (Quiver varieties).** Let  $Q$  be a finite quiver with vertex set  $I$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^I$  be a pair of dimension vectors. Nakajima varieties provide, in many cases, examples of a symplectic resolution of the form  $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ . Here,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^I$  is a ‘stability parameter’, and we write  $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  for the Nakajima variety  $\mathcal{M}_{0,\theta}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ . For  $\theta = 0$ , the variety  $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  is known to be affine.

## 6. POISSON DEFORMATIONS.

6.1. Given a smooth symplectic, resp. not necessarily smooth Poisson, variety, one can study its flat deformations in the category of symplectic, resp. Poisson, varieties. The corresponding theory was initiated in [GK] and was further developed by Namikawa in [N1]-[N2]. Note that

any deformation of a smooth symplectic variety  $Y$  in the category of symplectic varieties induces canonically a deformation of  $Y^{\text{aff}}$  in the category of Poisson varieties. For example, let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a symplectic resolution where  $X$  is an affine normal variety, as usual. Then, one has  $X = \tilde{X}^{\text{aff}}$  so  $\pi$  is, in fact, the affinization morphism. Thus, we see that any symplectic deformation of  $\tilde{X}$  induces a Poisson deformation of  $X$ .

A much deeper connection between Poisson deformations and symplectic resolutions is provided by the following result of Namikawa[N2]:

**Theorem 6.1.1.** *Let  $X$  be an affine variety with symplectic singularities equipped with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action, cf. (??). Then,  $X$  admits a flat Poisson deformation to a smooth Poisson variety if and only if  $X$  has a symplectic resolution.*

Next, we study deformations of symplectic resolutions.

Fix a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant symplectic resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , where  $X$  is a Poisson variety with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. It turns out that the vector space  $\mathfrak{H} := H^2(X)$  is the natural parameter space for deformations of the symplectic resolution  $\pi$ . Specifically, define a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\mathfrak{H}$  by  $z : h \mapsto z \cdot h$ . Then, one has the following result, see [GK, Theorem 1.13]:

**Theorem 6.1.2.** *Given a symplectic resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  as above, there exists a smooth  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -variety  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$  and a smooth  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant morphism  $\phi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  such that the following holds.*

Put  $\mathfrak{X} := \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{\text{aff}}$  and let  $\phi = f \circ \pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  be the canonical factorization, where  $\pi$  is the affinization morphism and  $f$  is an affine morphism. For  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , put  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h := \phi^{-1}(h)$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{X}_h := f^{-1}(h)$ . Then, we have:

- (1)  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$  is a relative symplectic manifold over  $\mathfrak{H}$ , i.e., there is a relative 2-form  $\omega \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathfrak{H}}^2)$  such that, for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , the restriction  $\omega|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h}$  is a symplectic 2-form on the fiber  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h$ .
- (2) The map  $f$  is flat, so the family  $\{\mathfrak{X}_h, h \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  is a flat family of affine normal Poisson varieties.
- (3) The map  $\pi$  is a projective birational morphism such that, for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , the map  $\pi|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h} : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_h$  is a symplectic resolution. Moreover, there exists a Zariski open dense subset  $\mathfrak{H}^\circ \subset \mathfrak{H}$  such that the map  $\pi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^\circ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X}^\circ$ , where  $\mathfrak{X}^\circ := f^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}^\circ)$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^\circ := \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{H}^\circ) = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}^\circ)$ .
- (4) There is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 \cong \tilde{X}$ , of symplectic algebraic varieties, such that the map  $\pi|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0} : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)^{\text{aff}} = \mathfrak{X}_0$  gets identified, via the isomorphism, with the map  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow (\tilde{X})^{\text{aff}} = X$ , the symplectic resolution. Thus, one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^\circ & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} & \longleftarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \tilde{X} \\
 \cong \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathfrak{X}^\circ & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{X} & \longleftarrow & \mathfrak{X}_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\
 \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{H}^\circ & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{H} & \longleftarrow & \{0\} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \{0\}
 \end{array} \tag{6.1.3}$$

We observe that the morphism  $f$ , in diagram (6.1.3), being affine the last statement in Theorem (6.1.2)(3) implies the following

**Corollary 6.1.4.** *The symplectic variety  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h$  is affine, for any  $h \in \mathfrak{H}^\circ$ .*

**6.2. Nearby cycles for symplectic resolutions.** Recall that a morphism  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ , where  $\tilde{X}$  is a smooth connected variety, is called *semismall* (in the sense of Goresky-MacPherson) if one has

an equation  $\dim(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}) = \dim X$ . Note that the set  $\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$  may have several irreducible components, so the semismallness requires the dimension of any such component be  $\leq \dim X$ . The diagonal  $X \subset \tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$  has dimension  $\dim X$ , so, for a semismall map  $\pi$ , the diagonal is an irreducible component of  $\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X}$  of maximal dimension.

We will use the techniques of nearby cycles to give an alternative short proof of the following result of Kaledin, [K4].

**Theorem 6.2.1.** *Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a symplectic resolution of an affine normal Poisson variety  $X$  with a nice  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Then,  $\pi$  is a semi-small morphism.*

*Proof.* Given a smooth connected variety  $Y$ , we let  $C_Y := \mathbb{C}_Y[\dim Y]$  be a constant sheaf on  $Y$  viewed as a complex concentrated in degree  $(-\dim Y)$ . This degree shift insures that  $C_Y$  is a perverse sheaf on  $Y$ .

To prove the theorem, we observe that the map  $\pi$  is semismall if and only if  $R\pi_* C_{\tilde{X}}$ , a derived direct image, is a perverse sheaf on  $X$ . To show the latter, we use the deformation provided by Theorem 6.1.2. It will be more convenient, however, to have a 1-parameter deformation rather than the deformation over the base  $\mathfrak{H} = H^2(\tilde{X})$  that may have dimension  $> 1$ , in general.

To construct such a deformation, one chooses a relatively ample line bundle  $L$ , on  $\tilde{X}$ . Let  $c_1(L) \in \mathfrak{H} = H^2(\tilde{X})$  be the first Chern class of  $L$  and let  $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{H}$  denote the image of the imbedding  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $z \mapsto z \cdot c_1(L)$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the restriction of the deformation  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ , of Theorem 6.1.2 to the line  $\mathbb{C}$ . This way, we obtain a flat 1-parameter deformation  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , called the *twistor deformation* associated with the line bundle  $L$ . This is a symplectic deformation of  $\tilde{X}$  that can also be constructed in a more direct way that does not involve Theorem 6.1.2, see [K6].

Next, we pull back diagram (6.1.3) via the imbedding  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ . This yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ} & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} & \longleftarrow & \tilde{X} \\
\downarrow \varpi^{\circ} & & \downarrow \varpi & & \downarrow \pi \\
\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ} & \hookrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}} := \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{aff}} & \longleftarrow & X \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\
\mathbb{C}^{\times} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longleftarrow & \{o\}
\end{array}$$

Thus, we have factored the twistor deformation as a composition  $f \circ \varpi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\psi_f$ , resp.  $\psi_{f \circ \varpi}$ , denote the nearby cycles functor associated with the function  $f$ , resp.  $f \circ \varpi$ . This is a functor that sends constructible complexes on the generic fiber to constructible complexes on the special fiber.

First, we note that the map  $f \circ \varpi$ , the twistor deformation, is a smooth morphism. This implies an isomorphism  $\psi_{f \circ \varpi}(C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}}) = C_{\tilde{X}}$ . Further, it follows from Theorem 6.1.2 that the map  $\varpi^{\circ} : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}$ , in the above diagram, is an isomorphism. Hence,  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}$  is a smooth variety and we have  $\varpi_* C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}} = C_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}}$ . Thus, proper base change for nearby cycles yields

$$\psi_f(C_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}}) = \psi_f(\varpi_* C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}}) = \varpi_* \psi_{f \circ \varpi}(C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}}) = \pi_* C_{\tilde{X}}.$$

Finally, it is known that the nearby cycles functor sends perverse sheaves to perverse sheaves, see e.g. [GM, §6.1]. It follows that  $\pi_* C_{\tilde{X}} \cong \psi_f(C_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}})$  is a perverse sheaf on  $X$ , as desired.  $\square$

Closely related to the above argument, is the following result.

**Corollary 6.2.2.** *Let  $\varpi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a twistor deformation of the symplectic resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Then, we have  $R\varpi_* C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}} = IC_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ , the intersection cohomology complex of the singular variety  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . In particular, for any  $x \in X$ , one has an isomorphism*

$$H^*(X_x) \cong \mathcal{H}_x^{\bullet - \dim X - 1}(IC_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}).$$

*Proof.* We have shown that the map  $\pi$  is semismall. Then, it follows directly from the dimension bounds involved in the definitions of small and semismall maps that the map  $\varpi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is small. This implies the result.  $\square$

*Remark 6.2.3.* An isomorphism  $R\varpi_* C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathbb{C}}} = IC_{\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{C}}}$  is equivalent to saying that the morphism  $\varpi$  is *small* (in the sense of Goresky-MacPherson).

## 7. PURITY

7.1. We keep the setup of the previous subsection. The main result of this subsection, Theorem 7.1.1 below, says that the cohomology groups  $H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  and  $H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)$  are *canonically* isomorphic to each other, for every point  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . The isomorphism is, in fact, provided by the Gauss-Manin connection.

Let  $[\omega_h] \in H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  be the de Rham cohomology class of the symplectic 2-form  $\omega_h$ . Thanks to the canonical isomorphism  $H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) \cong H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)$ , the assignment  $h \mapsto [\omega_h] \in H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  gives a well defined map  $per : \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)$ , called the *period map*. Note that the 2-form  $\omega_0$  is exact since one has an equation  $\omega = \frac{1}{m} d(i_{eu}\omega_0)$ , where  $eu$  is the Euler vector field induced by the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\tilde{X}$ , cf. Example 2.2.7. It follows that we have  $per(0) = [\omega_0] = 0$ . Moreover, it is not difficult to show that the period map is in fact equal to the identity map  $H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0) = \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)$ . This implies that the deformation  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  is semi-universal, in the sense of deformation theory.

**Theorem 7.1.1.** *The sheaf  $R^k \phi_* C_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}}$  is a constant sheaf on  $\mathfrak{H}$  and the following restriction maps*

$$H^k(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(\tilde{X}_o), \quad H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h), \quad k \geq 0, \quad (7.1.2)$$

*are isomorphisms, for all  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Moreover, each of the cohomology groups above is pure of weight  $k$ .*

7.2. **Proof of Theorem 7.1.1.** Associated with any locally closed subvariety  $S \subset \mathfrak{H}$ , there are various objects  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S := S \times_{\mathfrak{H}} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} = \phi^{-1}(S)$ , resp.  $\mathfrak{X}_S := S \times_{\mathfrak{H}} \mathfrak{X} = f^{-1}(S)$  and  $\phi_S := \phi|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S}$ , etc., obtained from the corresponding objects of diagram (6.1.3) by base change via the imbedding  $S \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ . In the special case where  $S = \{h\}$  is a one-point set, we have  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\{h\}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h$ .

Let  $S \subset \mathfrak{H}$  be a closed  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable subvariety. Thus, we have  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant maps  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_S \rightarrow S$ , where the first map is projective morphism and the composite of the two maps is a smooth morphism. Note that  $S$  contains zero, moreover, we have  $S^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{X}_S$  contains  $X$  as a closed subvariety and, we have  $(\mathfrak{X}_S)^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = X^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{o\}$ . We deduce that  $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S$  and, moreover,  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S)^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \tilde{X}_o^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  is the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point set in the central fiber. Hence, this fixed point set is a projective variety.

The above implies, thanks to a well known result due to Springer [Sp, Corollary 1], that the natural restriction map  $H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{X}_o^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$  is an isomorphism. Combining this with similar results in the special cases where  $S = \mathfrak{H}$  and  $S = 0$ , respectively, we deduce that each of the restriction maps below must be an isomorphism as well:

$$H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0) = H^*(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\tilde{X}_o) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\tilde{X}_o^{\mathbb{C}^\times}). \quad (7.2.1)$$

In particular, this yields the first isomorphism in (7.1.2) and also the second isomorphism in (7.1.2) in the special case  $h = 0$ .

Further, following Springer we observe that, for any  $k \geq 0$ , all the weights in the cohomology group  $H^k(\tilde{X})$  are  $\geq k$ , since  $\tilde{X}$  is a smooth (but not compact) variety. On the other hand, the group  $H^k(\tilde{X}_o)$  has weights  $\leq k$  since  $\tilde{X}_o$ , the central fiber, is a (typically singular) projective variety. Thus, the isomorphism  $H^k(\tilde{X}) \cong H^k(\tilde{X}_o)$  in (7.2.1) forces all the cohomology groups which appear in (7.2.1) to be pure of weight  $k$ , see [Sp, Theorem 1].

Next, we prove that the cohomology group  $H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  is pure of weight  $k$ , for any  $h \neq 0$ . So, fix  $h \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \{0\}$  and put  $S := \mathbb{C} \cdot h \subset \mathfrak{H}$ , the line spanned by  $h$ . Associated with  $S$ , we have a closed  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable subset  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S = \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{C} \cdot h)$ , of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Since  $S = \mathbb{C}^\times \cdot h \sqcup \{0\}$ , there is a decomposition  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S = \phi^{-1}(S \setminus \{0\}) \sqcup \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0$ , where  $\phi^{-1}(S \setminus \{0\}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable open subset of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S$ . It is clear that the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S^\circ$  provides a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^\times \cdot h), \quad z \times x \mapsto z(x).$$

Thus, we have a diagram

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 \xleftarrow{i} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S \xleftarrow{j} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 \quad \equiv \quad \mathbb{C}^* \times \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h, \quad (7.2.2)$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are closed and open imbeddings, respectively.

It is well known that the cohomology groups  $H^0(\mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathbb{C}(0)$  and  $H^1(\mathbb{C}^\times) = \mathbb{C}(2)$  are 1-dimensional vector spaces which have weights 0 and 2, respectively. Hence, one has the following Künneth decomposition

$$H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0) \cong [H^0(\mathbb{C}^\times) \otimes H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)] \oplus [H^1(\mathbb{C}^\times) \otimes H^{*-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)] = H^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) \oplus H^{*-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)(2).$$

Associated with diagram (7.2.2), there is a standard long exact sequence of cohomology. Using the Künneth decomposition, the long exact sequence takes the following form, where [1] denotes the connecting homomorphism:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)(1) \xrightarrow{i_!} H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) \oplus H^{k-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)(2) \xrightarrow{[1]} H^{k+1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0) \rightarrow \dots \quad (7.2.3)$$

It turns out that using the weight filtration on the cohomology of algebraic varieties the maps in (7.2.3) can be described quite explicitly. In more detail, for  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\text{gr}_w H^*(-)$  denote an associated graded term of weight  $w$  in the weight filtration on the cohomology. Applying the functor  $\text{gr}_w(-)$ , which is an exact functor, to (7.2.3), one obtains an exact sequence of spaces of weight  $w$ . Thanks to the purity result proved earlier, we know that  $\text{gr}_w H^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0) = \text{gr}_w H^n(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_S) = 0$ , whenever  $w \neq n$ . Hence, for any  $w \geq k+2$ , the fragment of the resulting exact sequence of spaces of weight  $w$  that corresponds to (7.2.3) reads

$$\dots \rightarrow 0 \xrightarrow{i_!} 0 \xrightarrow{j^*} \text{gr}_w H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) \oplus [\text{gr}_{w-2} H^{k-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)](2) \xrightarrow{[1]} 0 \rightarrow \dots \quad (7.2.4)$$

From (7.2.4), we see that  $\text{gr}_{w-2} H^{k-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) = 0$ . It follows that the group  $\text{gr}_w H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  vanishes for any pair of integers  $w, k$ , such that  $w > k$ . On the other hand, since  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h$  is smooth, we also have  $\text{gr}_w H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) = 0$  for all  $w < k$ . Thus, we conclude that, for each  $k$ , the group  $H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  is pure of weight  $k$ .

The purity implies that the long exact sequence (7.2.3) breaks up into a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{(w)}$ , of long exact sequences  $E^{(w)}$ ,  $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that, for any  $w$ , all terms in  $E^{(w)}$  are pure of weight  $w$ . Furthermore, one finds that each of these long exact sequences actually splits further into length

two exact sequences. Specifically, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the long exact sequence  $E^{(k)}$  reduces, effectively, to the following pair of isomorphisms:

$$j^* : H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathfrak{S}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h) \quad \text{and} \quad i_! : H^{k-1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0)(2) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{k+1}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathfrak{S}}). \quad (7.2.5)$$

Here, the isomorphism  $j^*$  comes from the map  $j^*$ , in (7.2.3), followed by the first projection.

Now, we prove that, for  $h$  as above, the second map in (7.1.2) is an isomorphism. To this end, we consider the following composition  $H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathfrak{S}}) \rightarrow H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$ , of two restriction maps. The first of the maps is an isomorphism thanks to (7.2.1). The second map is an isomorphism, by (7.2.5). Hence, the composite map  $H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow H^k(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$  is an isomorphism, proving (7.1.2).

It remains to show that the cohomology sheaves  $R^k \phi_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}}$  are constant sheaves on  $\mathfrak{H}$ . To this end, we need to introduce some notation. Given a closed imbedding  $\iota : Y \hookrightarrow Z$ , of algebraic varieties, let  $\iota^! : D_{\text{constr}}^b(Z) \rightarrow D_{\text{constr}}^b(Y)$  denote the functor of *derived* restriction with supports in  $Y$ , between the corresponding constructible bounded derived categories. Further, for  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , let  $i_h : \{h\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ , resp.  $\tilde{i}_h : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ , denote the corresponding closed imbedding and  $i_h^!$ , resp.  $\tilde{i}_h^!$ , the derived restriction functor. Finally, we let  $p : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  be the second projection and define a map  $\varepsilon : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}$  by the assignment  $x \mapsto (x, \phi(x))$ . Thus,  $\varepsilon$  is a closed embedding via the graph of  $\phi$ , so one has a factorization  $\phi = p \circ \varepsilon$ .

Now, we begin the proof by noting that each cohomology sheaf  $R^k p_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}}$  is a constant sheaf, by the Künneth formula. Next, we observe that there is a canonical morphism

$$u : R^* p_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}} \longrightarrow R^* p_* (\varepsilon_* \varepsilon^* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}}) = R^* \phi_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}}.$$

Thus, we would be done provided we can prove that the morphism  $u$  is, in fact, an isomorphism. We will prove this by showing that, for every  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , the morphism  $i_h^!(u) : i_h^!(R^* p_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}}) \rightarrow i_h^!(R^* \phi_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}})$ , induced by  $u$ , is an isomorphism. This is known to be sufficient to conclude that  $u$  is an isomorphism,

The argument below involves the following diagram, where  $\phi_h := \phi|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h}$  and  $p_h$  stands for a constant map,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h & \xrightarrow{\phi_h} & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \{h\} & \xrightarrow{p_h} & \{h\} \\ \downarrow \tilde{i}_h & \searrow \varepsilon|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h} & \downarrow \text{Id} \times i_h & & \downarrow i_h \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{H} \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \end{array} \quad (7.2.6)$$

It is clear that all commutative squares in the diagram are cartesian. Also, the map  $\phi$  is a smooth morphism, so  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h = \phi^{-1}(h)$  is a smooth subvariety in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$  of codimension  $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore, applying proper base change to the above diagram one gets the following canonical isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} i_h^!(R^* p_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}}) &= (R^* p_h)_* (\text{Id} \times i_h)^! \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{H}} = (R^* p_h)_* (\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}} [2n]) = H^{\bullet+2n}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}); \\ i_h^!(R^* \phi_* \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}}) &= (R^* \phi_h)_* (\tilde{i}_h^! \mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}}) = (R^* \phi_h)_* (\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h} [2n]) = H^{\bullet+2n}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the morphism  $i_h^!(u)$  goes, via base change, to a morphism  $H^{\bullet+2n}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}) \rightarrow H^{\bullet+2n}(\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h)$ . One can check that the latter morphism is the restriction morphism induced by the imbedding  $\tilde{i}_h : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_h \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ . We have proved earlier, cf. (7.1.2), that the restriction morphism in question is an isomorphism, for any  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ , cf. (7.1.2). It follows that the morphism  $u$  is an isomorphism, completing the proof of the theorem.

## 8. TILTING GENERATORS

8.1. Given an associative algebra  $B$ , let  $B^{op}$  be the *opposite* algebra, and  $B\text{-mod}$ , resp.  $B\text{-bimod}$ , be the category of *finitely generated* left  $B$ -modules, resp. finitely generated  $B$ -bimodules. If  $B$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading then one may also consider categories  $B\text{-grmod}$  and  $B\text{-grbimod}$ , of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $B$ -modules and  $B$ -bimodules, respectively.

Let  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$  be the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on a scheme  $Y$ . If  $Y$  is affine, we will often make no distinction between the equivalent categories  $\text{Coh}(Y)$  and  $\mathbb{C}[Y]\text{-mod}$ , resp.  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)$  and  $D^b(\mathbb{C}[Y]\text{-mod})$ .

In the case where the variety  $Y$  has a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action, one can also consider a triangulated category  $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(Y)$ , the bounded derived category of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant complexes of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules with coherent cohomology sheaves. For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one has the character  $\chi^n : z \mapsto z^n$ , of the group  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant coherent sheaf. Then, one defines  $\chi^n \otimes \mathcal{T}$  to be the same coherent sheaf as  $\mathcal{T}$  but equipped with a new  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant structure obtained from the one on  $\mathcal{T}$  by twisting by the character  $\chi^n$ . Below,  $\text{Hom}$  always stands for  $\text{Hom}_{D_{\text{coh}}^b(Y)}$ . With this convention, for  $\mathcal{T}$  as above, one has a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(Y)}(\mathcal{T}, \chi^n \otimes \mathcal{T}).$$

This isomorphism makes the left hand side a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra. Let  $A_{\mathcal{T}} := [\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})]^{op}$  denote the opposite algebra.

The following important and nontrivial result was proved by Kaledin [K5] using the theory of ‘Fedosov quantization’ over fields of positive characteristic, developed by Bezrukavnikov and Kaledin [BK].

**Theorem 8.1.1** (Kaledin, [K5]). *There exists a locally free  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant sheaf  $\mathcal{T}$ , on  $\tilde{X}$ , such that:*

- (i) *We have  $\text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ ;*
- (ii) *The functor  $R\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, -)$  yields an equivalence  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(A_{\mathcal{T}}\text{-mod})$ , of triangulated categories.* □

The object  $\mathcal{T}$  satisfying conditions (i)-(ii) of the theorem is usually referred to as a *tilting generator* of the category  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tilting generator. One has natural graded algebra imbeddings  $\mathbb{C}[X] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}] \hookrightarrow A_{\mathcal{T}}$ , where the first imbedding is a pull-back via the symplectic resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . We will identify the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  with its image under the composite imbedding. This makes  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  a *central* graded subalgebra of  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  such that  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a finitely generated graded  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module (since the map  $\pi$  is proper). In particular,  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  is finite over its center and (both left and right) noetherian.

*Remark 8.1.2.* The equivalence of Theorem 8.1.1 has an equivariant analogue, a triangulated equivalence  $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(A_{\mathcal{T}}\text{-grmod})$  provided by the same functor  $R\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}, -)$ . Furthermore, the equivalences in question are, in fact, equivalences of *module categories* over monoidal categories  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(X)$ , resp.  $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(X)$ , where the monoidal structure is given by the derived tensor product  $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^L \mathcal{G}$ . ◇

8.2. Let  $A_{\mathcal{T}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} A_i$  be the grading on  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ . The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  being nonnegatively graded, it follows that each homogeneous component  $A_i$  is finite dimensional. We remark that the algebra  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  may have a finite number of homogeneous components of negative degrees, in general.

**Proposition 8.2.1.** (i) *The algebra  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$  has finite global dimension, i.e. any  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module has a finite projective resolution.*

(ii) *There exists a collection  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in A_{\mathcal{F}}$ , of homogeneous orthogonal idempotents of degree zero, such that any indecomposable graded  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module which is projective as an (ungraded)  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module is isomorphic, up to a grading shift, to a module of the form  $A_{\mathcal{F}}e_i$ ,*

(iii) *Any finitely generated graded  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$ -bimodule which is projective as an  $(A_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes A_{\mathcal{F}}^{op})$ -module is isomorphic (up to grading shifts) to a finite direct sum of modules of the form  $A_{\mathcal{F}}e_i \boxtimes e_j A_{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

(iv) *The algebra  $A_{\mathcal{F}}$  has a homogeneous symmetric nondegenerate  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -linear trace  $\tau : A_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ .*

*Proof.* Part (i) follows from (and is equivalent to) the formal smoothness of the scheme  $\tilde{X}$  using a general criterion of formal smoothness due to Grothendieck.

To prove (ii), put  $A = A_{\mathcal{F}}$  and write  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$  for the augmentation ideal, so one has  $\mathbb{C}[X]/I = \mathbb{C}$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , let  $(I^k) \subset A$  be the ideal in  $A$  generated by the  $k$ -th power of  $I$ . This is a graded ideal of finite codimension in  $A$  so  $A/(I^k)$  is a finite dimensional graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. The grading gives a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on this algebra by algebra automorphisms. The Jacobson radical of the algebra  $A/(I^k)$ , being the maximal nilpotent ideal, is therefore stable under the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action.

Let  $\bar{A}$  be the quotient of  $A/(I)$  by its Jacobson radical. Thus,  $\bar{A}$  is a semisimple finite dimensional graded algebra and we have  $\bar{A} = A/J$  where  $J$  is the kernel of the composite map  $A \twoheadrightarrow A/(I) \twoheadrightarrow \bar{A}$ .

By Wedderburn theory, the algebra  $\bar{A}$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple algebras of the form  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$ , where  $V$  is a finite dimensional vector space. Since the number of two-sided ideals in  $\bar{A}$  is finite, it follows that any such ideal is  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -stable and the decomposition of  $\bar{A}$  into simple algebras respects the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action. Further, any automorphism of the algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$  is known to be inner. It follows that the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$  comes from a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on the vector space  $V$  itself. We decompose  $V$  into a direct sum of 1-dimensional weight spaces. Then, the projections to these weight spaces provide a complete set of orthogonal minimal idempotents of the algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} V$  which are homogeneous of degree zero. We conclude that the algebra  $\bar{A}$  also has a complete set, say  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$ , of homogeneous orthogonal minimal idempotents of degree zero. Thus,  $\bar{A}\bar{e}_i$  is a simple  $\bar{A}$ -module which we may (and will) view as an  $A$ -module via the isomorphism  $\bar{A} = A/J$ .

Now, choose  $k \gg 0$  so that the ideal  $(I^k)$  has no homogeneous components of degrees  $\leq 0$ . The semisimple algebra  $\bar{A}$  is a quotient of the algebra  $A/(I^k)$  by a nilpotent ideal and the standard construction of lifting of idempotents shows that one can lift the idempotents  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$  to orthogonal idempotents  $e_1^{(k)}, \dots, e_n^{(k)} \in A/(I^k)$  which are homogeneous of degree zero again. For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $e_i$  be an arbitrary lift of  $e_i^{(k)}$  to a degree zero homogeneous element of  $A$ . Then, for any  $i, j$ , we have that  $e_i e_j - \delta_{i,j} \cdot e_i$  is a degree zero homogeneous element of the ideal  $(I^k)$ , hence, this element must be equal to zero. We conclude that  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in A$  are degree zero orthogonal idempotents which lift  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n \in \bar{A}$ . Thus,  $P_i := Ae_i$  is an indecomposable graded  $A$ -module and it is a projective cover of the simple  $A$ -module  $\bar{A}\bar{e}_i$ .

Next, let  $P$  be a finitely generated graded  $A$ -module. Then,  $P/J \cdot P$  is a finite dimensional graded  $\bar{A}$ -module. Hence, there is an isomorphism  $P/J \cdot P \cong \bigoplus_{i,r} \bar{A}\bar{e}_i[m_{i,r}]$ , where  $m_{i,r}$  are some integers and  $(-)[m]$  denotes grading shift by  $m$ . Let  $\bar{p}_{i,r}$  be an element of  $P/J \cdot P$  corresponding to  $e_i[m_{i,r}]$  under this isomorphism and let  $p_{i,r} \in P$  be a homogeneous lift of  $\bar{p}_{i,r}$ . Thus,  $\deg p_{i,r} = m_{i,r}$  and replacing  $p_{i,r}$  by  $e_i p_{i,r}$ , if necessary, we may assume in addition that, for any  $i, j, r$ , one has  $e_i p_{j,r} = \delta_{i,j} p_{j,r}$ .

We claim that the elements  $p_{i,r}$  generate  $P$ . To see this, let  $u_1, \dots, u_\ell$  be a set of homogeneous generators of  $P$  and let  $N := \max(\deg u_1, \dots, \deg u_\ell)$ . Further, we consider, for each  $k \geq 1$ , an  $A/(I^k)$ -module  $P/I^k \cdot P$ . Since  $P/J \cdot P$  is a quotient of  $P/I^k \cdot P$  by the ideal  $J/(I^k)$ , the classes  $p_{i,r} \pmod{I^k \cdot P}$  generate  $P/I^k \cdot P$  by the Nakayama lemma. Therefore, there are homogeneous

elements  $a_{i,r,s}^{(k)} \in A$  such that in  $P/I^k \cdot P$  we have

$$u_s \pmod{I^k \cdot P} = \sum_{i,r,s} a_{i,r,s}^{(k)} p_{i,r} \pmod{I^k \cdot P}. \quad (8.2.2)$$

It is clear that we may assume without loss of generality that  $\deg a_{i,r,s} = \deg u_s - m_{i,r}$ . On the other hand, we may choose  $k$  large enough so that the module  $I^k \cdot P$  has no nonzero homogeneous components in degrees  $< N$ . Then, equation (8.2.2) yields  $u_s = \sum_{i,r,s} a_{i,r,s}^{(k)} p_{i,r}$ . It follows that the elements  $p_{i,r}$  generate  $P$ , as claimed. Thus, the map

$$f : \bigoplus_{i,r} P_i[m_{i,r}] = \bigoplus_{i,r} Ae_i[m_{i,r}] \rightarrow P, \quad \sum_{i,r} \alpha_{i,r} e_i \mapsto \sum_{i,r} \alpha_{i,r} p_{i,r}$$

gives a well defined and surjective morphism of graded  $A$ -modules such that the induced map  $\tilde{P}/J \cdot \tilde{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} P/J \cdot P$  is an isomorphism.

To complete the proof of part (ii), assume now that the graded  $A$ -module  $P$  is projective as an  $A$ -module (with the grading disregarded). Then the kernel  $K$ , of the morphism  $f$ , splits off as a direct summand. Therefore,  $K$  is projective, furthermore, we have

$$K/J \cdot K = \text{Ker}[\tilde{P}/J \cdot \tilde{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} P/J \cdot P] = 0.$$

It follows by Nakayama that  $K/I^k K = 0$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . This implies that all homogeneous components of  $K$  vanish, so  $f$  is an isomorphism. Thus, we have proved that any finitely generated graded  $A$ -module which is projective as an  $A$ -module is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of modules of the form  $P_i[m]$ . Part (ii) follows. Also, a similar argument in the case of the algebra  $A \otimes A^{op}$  yields (iii).

Finally, the statement in (iv) is a consequence of the fact that category  $D_{\text{coh}}^b(\tilde{X})$  is a Calabi-Yau category. As a result, the algebra  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a Calabi-Yau algebra, cf. [Gi3, §7]. This implies the desired statement, see [Br] or [Gi3], Corollary 3.3.2 and Theorem 7.2.14(iii).  $\square$

One has the following

**Conjecture 8.2.3.** *For any symplectic resolution as in Theorem 8.1.1, there exists a tilting generator  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the algebra  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  has no nonzero homogeneous components of negative degrees.*

One of the motivations for this conjecture is the following observation by D. Kaledin (unpublished), cf. also [BM],

**Proposition 8.2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a tilting generator  $\mathcal{T}$  such that the algebra  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  is nonnegatively graded. Then,  $A_0$  is a semisimple subalgebra of  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$ , and  $A_{\mathcal{T}}$  is a Koszul algebra, cf. [BGS].*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  be any nonnegatively graded algebra  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  such that each homogeneous component  $A_i$  is finite dimensional and  $A_0$  is a semisimple algebra. Then, any  $A_0$ -module may be viewed, via the augmentation  $A \twoheadrightarrow A_0$ , as an  $A$ -module. Using the *minimal* resolution of  $A_0$  by graded projective  $A$ -modules shows that the group  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A_0, A_0)$  has no nonzero homogeneous components in degrees  $j > i$ , cf. [BGS]. The Koszul property for  $A$  amounts to the condition that, for any  $i \geq 0$ , the grading on the group  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A_0, A_0)$  induced by the grading on  $A$  is concentrated in degree  $i$ .

Now let  $A = A_{\mathcal{T}}$  where  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tilting generator such that  $A_i = 0$  for all  $i < 0$ . Then, the proof of Proposition 8.2.1(ii) shows that  $A_0$ , the degree zero component, is automatically a semisimple algebra.

Next, fix  $i \geq 0$ . Observe that the group  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A_0, A_0)$  has the natural structure of a graded  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module. Observe further that the variety  $\tilde{X}$  being symplectic it has a trivial canonical bundle. Therefore, the affine variety  $X$  is Gorenstein. It follows that, for any  $k > 0$ , Grothendieck-Serre

duality provides a perfect pairing between homogeneous components of  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A_0, A_0)$  of degrees  $i + k$  and  $i - k$ , respectively. The component of degree  $i + k$  vanishes by the first paragraph of the proof. Hence, the component of degree  $i - k$  vanishes as well.  $\square$

## 9. ALGEBRAIC CYCLES AND COHOMOLOGICAL PURITY

9.1. Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group and  $Y$  a quasi-projective  $G$ -variety  $Y$ . Let  $K^G(Y)$  denote the Grothendieck group of the category of  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaves on  $Y$ . This group has a natural structure of  $K^G(pt)$ -module, where  $K^G(pt)$  is identified with the representation ring of  $G$ . Given a commutative ring  $R$  and a ring homomorphism  $K^G(pt) \rightarrow R$ , we put  $K_R^G(Y) := R \otimes_{K^G(pt)} K^G(Y)$ .

We let the group  $G$  act on  $Y \times Y$  diagonally and write  $\Delta(Y) \in K^G(Y \times Y)$  for the class of the structure sheaf of the diagonal  $Y \subset Y \times Y$ .

**Definition.** We say that  $Y$  has decomposable diagonal in  $K_R^G$ -theory if the class  $1 \otimes \Delta(Y) \in K_R^G(Y \times Y)$  is contained in the  $R$ -submodule generated by the classes of the form  $[\mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}]$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are  $G$ -equivariant algebraic vector bundles on  $Y$ .

Below, we will be only interested in the cases where  $G$  is either trivial or  $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$ . In the former case we have  $K^G(pt) = \mathbb{Z}$ ; in this case we will use simplified notation  $K(-) := K^G(-)$ , resp.  $K_R(-) := K_R^G(-)$ . In the latter case, one has  $K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(pt) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ .

The usefulness of the notion of decomposable diagonal for our purposes is due to the following well known result.

**Lemma 9.1.1.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth projective variety with decomposable diagonal in  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -theory. Then, the group  $H_*(Y)$  is spanned by the fundamental classes of algebraic cycles.*

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $Y$  is connected of dimension  $d$ . Let  $[Y_{\text{diag}}] \in H_{2d}(Y \times Y)$  be the fundamental of the diagonal in  $Y \times Y$ .

Recall that one has the (homological) Chern character map  $ch : K(Y) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$ , cf. [Fu]. Standard properties of the Chern character imply, cf. [Fu], that the class  $ch(\Delta(Y)) \in H_*(Y \times Y)$  has an expansion of the form  $ch(\Delta(Y)) = [Y_{\text{diag}}] + c_{d-1} + \dots + c_0$ , where  $c_j \in H_{2j}(Y \times Y)$ .

Suppose now that in  $K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y \times Y)$  one has an equation of the form  $\Delta(Y) = \sum_j \nu_j \otimes [\mathcal{E}_j \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_j]$ , for some  $\nu_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and some vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{F}_j$  on  $Y$ . Then, applying the Chern character map to the above equation and separating individual homological degrees in the resulting formula, we obtain an equation

$$[Y_{\text{diag}}] = \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes e_j \otimes f_j, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}, e_j, f_j \in H_*(Y), \quad \deg e_j + \deg f_j = 2d. \quad (9.1.2)$$

Furthermore, for each  $j$ , the cohomology classes  $e_j, f_j$  are some rational combinations of Chern classes; in particular, these classes are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combinations of the classes of algebraic cycles.

To complete the proof, we exploit convolution in homology. Specifically, we consider the setting of [Gi1, §2] in the special case where  $M_1 = M_2 = Y$  and  $M_3 = pt$ . We let  $Z_{12} := Y \times Y$  and  $Z_{23} = Y \times pt$ . The class  $[Y_{\text{diag}}]$  is the unit element of the convolution algebra  $H_*(Y \times Y)$ . Therefore, for any  $c \in H_*(Y) = H_*(Z_{23})$ , we have that  $[Y_{\text{diag}}] \star c = c$ . On the other hand, it is immediate from definitions that for any  $e, f \in H_*(Y)$ , one has  $(e \otimes f) \star c = \langle f, c \rangle \cdot e$ , where  $\langle -, - \rangle : H_*(Y) \times H_{2d-*(Y)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the Poincaré duality pairing. Thus, from equation (9.1.2), we get

$$c = [Y_{\text{diag}}] \star c = \left( \sum_j \alpha_j \otimes e_j \otimes f_j \right) \star c = \sum_j \langle f_j, c \rangle \cdot \alpha_j \otimes e_j.$$

The sum on the right is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the classes  $e_j$ , hence it is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the classes of algebraic cycles. Thus we have shown that any homology class  $c \in H_*(Y)$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combination of the classes of algebraic cycles.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.1.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a smooth quasi-projective  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -variety. If  $Y$  has decomposable diagonal in  $K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ -theory then  $Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ , the fixed point subvariety, has decomposable diagonal in  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -theory.*

*Proof.* The result is implicitly contained [CG, Theorem 5.11.10], since the algebra homomorphism  $r_a$  in *loc cit* sends the unit element to the unit element.

For the benefit of the reader, we spell out the argument in a more explicit way as follows. Put  $Y' := Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  and let  $i : Y' \hookrightarrow Y$  denote the imbedding. We consider the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y' \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{\Delta'} & Y' \times Y' \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow i \times i \\
Y \hookrightarrow & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & Y \times Y
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y') & \xrightarrow{\Delta'_*} & K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y' \times Y') \\
r \uparrow & & r \times r \uparrow \\
K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y \times Y)
\end{array}
\tag{9.1.4}$$

Here, the maps  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are the diagonal imbeddings,  $\Delta_*$  and  $\Delta'_*$  stand for the corresponding push-forward morphisms in  $K$ -theory. Finally,  $r$  is the map considered in [CG, §5.11], where it has been denoted by  $res_a$  and where  $a$  stands for an element of the group  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  such that  $a \neq 1$ . The map  $r$  was defined in *loc cit* as a composition  $\lambda^{-1} \circ ev \circ i^* : K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y) \rightarrow K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y') \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y') \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y')$ . The first map  $i^*$  here is a restriction morphism. The second map  $ev : K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y') = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} K(Y') \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y')$  is induced by the ‘evaluation map’  $\mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \mapsto f(a)$ . The third map is given by multiplication by  $\lambda^{-1}$ , an inverse of an equivariant Euler class  $\lambda \in K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y')$ , which is known to be an invertible element, [CG, Proposition 5.10.3].

The left square in (9.1.4) is clearly commutative. The square on the right commutes also, by [CG, Theorem 5.11.7]. Hence, using that  $i^*[\mathcal{O}_Y] = [\mathcal{O}_{Y'}]$ , we find

$$(r \times r)(\Delta(Y)) = (r \times r)\Delta_*[\mathcal{O}_Y] = \Delta'_*r([\mathcal{O}_Y]) = \Delta'_*(\lambda^{-1} \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{Y'}]) = (\lambda^{-1} \boxtimes 1) \cdot \Delta'_*[\mathcal{O}_{Y'}]. \tag{9.1.5}$$

Now, since  $Y$  has decomposable diagonal in  $K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ -theory, there exist  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{F}_j$ , on  $Y$ , such that, in  $K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(Y \times Y)$ , one has an equation  $\Delta(Y) = \sum_j p_j \cdot [\mathcal{E}_j \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_j]$ , where  $p_j \in \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$ . Applying the map  $r \times r$  to this equation and using (9.1.5) yields

$$(\lambda^{-1} \boxtimes 1) \cdot \Delta'_*[\mathcal{O}_{Y'}] = (r \times r)(\Delta(Y)) = \sum_j p_j(a) \cdot (\lambda^{-1} \cdot ev(i^*[\mathcal{E}_j])) \boxtimes (\lambda^{-1} \cdot ev(i^*[\mathcal{F}_j])),$$

where  $p_j(a)$  are complex numbers. Thus, for the class  $\Delta(Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \in K_{\mathbb{C}}(Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \times Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$ , we obtain the following formula

$$\Delta(Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) = \Delta'_*[\mathcal{O}_{Y'}] = \sum_j p_j(a) \cdot ev(i^*[\mathcal{E}_j]) \boxtimes (\lambda^{-1} \cdot ev(i^*[\mathcal{F}_j])).$$

It is manifest from the formula that the variety  $Y^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  has decomposable diagonal in  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -theory.  $\square$

9.2. We can now prove the main result of this subsection.

**Theorem 9.2.1.** *Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a symplectic resolution satisfying our standing assumptions. Then,*

- (i) *The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -variety  $\tilde{X}$  has decomposable diagonal in  $K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ -theory.*
- (ii) *The groups  $H_*(\pi^{-1}(0))$  and  $H_*^{BM}(\tilde{X})$ , the homology of the central fiber and the Borel-Moore homology of  $\tilde{X}$ , respectively, are generated by the fundamental classes of algebraic cycles.*
- (iii) *The cohomology groups  $H^i(X)$  vanish whenever  $i$  is odd or  $i > \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ . Furthermore, for any  $i \geq 0$ , the Hodge structure on the cohomology  $H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is a (pure) Tate structure of type  $(i, i)$ .*

*Remark 9.2.2.* One can show that, more generally, the statements of part (iii) hold for the cohomology of any fiber  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  of the map  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 8.1.1, one can find a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant vector bundle  $\mathcal{T}$ , on  $\tilde{X}$ , which is a tilting generator. Let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be the dual vector bundle and let  $A := A_{\mathcal{T}} \cong \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}^*$ .

First of all, from Theorem 8.1.1 one deduces that the functor  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T} \boxtimes \mathcal{T}^*, -)$  provides an equivalence  $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(A\text{-grbimod})$ , cf. Remark 8.1.2. Convolution with the object  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{diag}}}$ , the structure sheaf of the diagonal, acts on the category  $D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X})$  as the identity functor. Similarly, tensoring with  $A_{\text{diag}} \in A\text{-grbimod}$ , the diagonal  $A$ -bimodule, acts on the category  $D^b(A\text{-grmod})$  as the identity functor. It follows that the equivalence above sends  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\text{diag}}}$  to  $A_{\text{diag}}$ .

We choose a graded resolution  $\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A_{\text{diag}}$  of the diagonal bimodule by free  $A \otimes A^{op}$ -modules of finite rank. It follows from Proposition 8.1.1(i) that the algebra  $A \otimes A^{op}$  has finite global dimension. This implies by a standard argument involving long exact sequences of *Ext*-groups that there exists an integer  $n \gg 0$  such that, writing  $K := \text{Ker}[P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1}]$ , in the category of all  $A$ -bimodules one has  $\text{Ext}^1(K, M) = 0$ , for any  $A$ -bimodule  $M$ . Hence,  $K$  is projective as an ungraded  $A \otimes A^{op}$ -module. Therefore, thanks to Proposition 8.1.1(iii), one has an isomorphism  $K = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n K'_j \boxtimes K''_j$ , where each  $K'_j$ , resp.  $K''_j$ , is a direct summand of  $A$  viewed as a rank one free  $A$ -module, resp.  $A^{op}$  viewed as a rank one free  $A^{op}$ -module. Thus, we obtain a graded resolution

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A_{\text{diag}}$$

such that each of the objects  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n, K$ , is a finite direct sum of objects of the form  $P' \boxtimes P''$ , where  $P'$  and  $P''$  are direct summands of a rank one free module. It follows that  $[A_\Delta]$ , the class of  $A_\Delta$  in the Grothendieck group  $K(A\text{-grbimod})$ , is equal to a linear combination of classes of the form  $[P'] \boxtimes [P'']$  where  $P'$  and  $P''$  are direct summands of a rank one free module.

Now the equivalence of Theorem 8.1.1 sends  $A \in A\text{-grmod}$  to  $\mathcal{T} \in D_{\text{coh}}^{b, \mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X})$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}$  is a vector bundle, the equivalence sends any direct summand of  $A$  to a  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant vector bundle on  $\tilde{X}$ . Further, our equivalences of triangulated categories induce isomorphisms of the corresponding Grothendieck groups. We have shown that the class  $[A_\Delta] \in K(A\text{-grbimod})$  goes, under the isomorphism  $K(A\text{-grbimod}) \cong K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ , to the class  $\Delta(\tilde{X}) \in K^{\mathbb{C}^\times}(\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X})$ . Combining all the above proves part (i) of the theorem.

Observe next that, the resolution  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  being  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant, we have  $\pi(\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}) \subset X^{\mathbb{C}^\times} = \{o\}$ . Hence, one has an inclusion  $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times} \subset \pi^{-1}(o)$ , which shows that  $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  is a projective variety. Applying Lemma 9.1.3, we deduce that this variety has decomposable diagonal in  $K_{\mathbb{C}}$ -theory. Thus, the group  $H_*(\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times})$  is spanned by algebraic cycles, thanks to Lemma 9.1.1.

To complete the proof of part (ii), one uses a standard argument based on the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition. In more detail, we first choose a smooth  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -equivariant completion  $Y$  of  $\tilde{X}$ , as in the proof of Proposition 4.6.1. Then, the argument used in the proof of that Proposition shows that  $\tilde{X}$  is a union of some of the attracting pieces of the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition of the variety  $Y$ . A similar argument shows that  $\pi^{-1}(o)$  is a union of some of the *expanding* pieces of the Bialynicki-Birula decomposition for  $Y$ . Next, one proves by a simple argument based on long exact sequences, cf. [CG, Lemma 5.9.20], that the fact that the homology of  $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$  is spanned by the algebraic cycles implies a similar result for the varieties  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(o)$ . Part (ii) follows.

Now, it is a direct consequence of (ii) that the cohomology groups  $H^i(X)$  vanish for  $i$  odd and the mixed Hodge structure on  $H^{2i}(X)$  is a (pure) Tate structure of weight  $i$ . Finally, we know that the varieties  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(o)$  are homotopy equivalent. It follows that  $H^i(\tilde{X}) = H^i(\pi^{-1}(o)) = 0$ , for all  $i > 2 \dim \pi^{-1}(o)$ . On the other hand, Theorem 4.2.1(2) yields an inequality  $\dim \pi^{-1}(o) \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim \tilde{X}$ . Part (iii) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 9.2.3.* The odd homology vanishing and generation of homology by algebraic cycles for the fibers of the Springer resolution, equivalently, for the  $e$ -fixed point varieties  $\mathcal{B}_e \subset \mathcal{B}$ , was standing

as an open problem for quite a long time. This problem has been finally solved in [DCLP]. The argument in [DCLP] was quite technical, in particular, it involved a case-by-case analysis.

The fact that the variety  $\tilde{S}_e$  has a decomposable diagonal in  $K$ -theory, for any nilpotent element in an arbitrary semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , has not been known until the result of Kaledin [K5].

The odd cohomology vanishing for the fibers of the map  $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$  was proved in [Nak].

**9.3. Applications to counting over finite fields.** There is a counterpart of the above result over finite fields. Specifically, assume that the variety  $X$  is obtained by base change from a scheme  $X_S$  over  $S$ , where  $S$  is a Zariski open subset of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ . For any prime  $p \in S$ , we get by reduction modulo  $p$  a scheme  $X_p$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ , the residue field. the reduction of  $X$  modulo  $p$ . Thus, for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , one has a finite set  $X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ -rational points of the scheme  $X_p$ .

Write  $H_{\text{et}}^i(X_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  for  $\ell$ -adic étale cohomology of the scheme  $X_p$ , where  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  is a prime,  $\ell \neq p$ . The étale cohomology groups come equipped with an action of the Frobenius endomorphism. Using the comparison theorem for étale cohomology and the Grothendieck-Lefschetz fixed point formula, from Theorem 9.2.1(ii) one derives the following result.

**Theorem 9.3.1.** *For all but finitely many primes  $p \in S$ , the following holds:*

- (i) *The scheme  $X_p$  is smooth.*
- (ii) *For any  $i \geq 0$ , the Frobenius endomorphism acts on  $H_{\text{et}}^{2i}(X_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$  as multiplication by  $p^i$ ; furthermore, one has  $H_{\text{et}}^i(X_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = 0$  whenever  $i$  is odd or  $i > d := \dim X$ .*
- (ii) *The number of elements of the set  $X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$  is given by the formula*

$$|X(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})| = \sum_{i=0}^{\dim X} \dim H_{\text{et}}^{2(d-i)}(X_p, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \cdot p^{i \cdot n}, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad \square$$

*Remark 9.3.2.* In the special case of quiver varieties  $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, 0)$  such that the dimension vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_i)_{i \in I}$  is indivisible (i.e. such that  $\gcd((v_i)_{i \in I}) = 1$ ) and, moreover, the stability condition  $\theta$  is sufficiently general, the above theorem was first obtained by Crawley-Boevey and Van den Bergh [CBV]. A closely related result was later obtained by Hausel in his proof of a conjecture by V. Kac, see [Ha] and references therein.

## 10. APPENDIX 1: ON RATIONAL SINGULARITIES

**10.1. Setup.** Let  $X$  be a normal variety. We consider a diagram

$$\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow X \xleftarrow{j} \hookrightarrow U$$

where  $\pi$  is a resolution of singularities and  $j$  is a Zariski open imbedding of a set  $U$  contained in the smooth locus of  $X$  and such that  $X \setminus U$  has codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $X$ .

One can take  $U$  to be the regular locus of  $X$ , for instance.

**Theorem 10.1.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a regular nowhere vanishing volume form on  $U$  and assume that the form  $\pi^*\Omega$ , on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$ , can be extended to a regular form  $\tilde{\Omega}$  on  $\tilde{X}$ . Then, we have:*

- (i) *The variety  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay;*
- (ii) *The dualizing sheaf of  $X$  is the structure sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X$ ;*
- (iii) *One has  $R^k \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$  for all  $k \neq 0$ , i.e.,  $X$  has rational singularities.*

*Remark 10.1.2.* The form  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , in the theorem, is allowed to have zeros on  $\tilde{X} \setminus \pi^{-1}(U)$ .

The above theorem, due to Flenner [Fl, Satz 1.3], may be deduced from a more general result by R. Elkik [El]. Several proofs of various generalizations of the theorem have appeared in the

literature, cf. eg. [KKM], [Kov]. Below we give a streamlined selfcontained proof of Theorem 10.1.1 following the strategy of M. Kovács [Kov].

**10.2. Proof of Theorem 10.1.1. Step 1.** Let  $\mathcal{K}_Y$  denote the canonical sheaf of a smooth variety  $Y$ .

The assignment  $1 \mapsto \Omega$ , resp.  $1 \mapsto \tilde{\Omega}$ , gives a sheaf isomorphism  $v : \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{K}_U$ , resp. a sheaf morphism  $\tilde{v} : \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}$ .

A key role in the argument is played by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\delta} & j_*\mathcal{O}_U \\
\downarrow \alpha & & \searrow \beta & & \cong \downarrow j_*(v) \\
\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\tilde{v})} & \pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} & \xrightarrow{\text{adj}} & j_*j^*\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow[\cong]{\gamma} j_*\mathcal{K}_U
\end{array} \tag{10.2.1}$$

In this diagram, the maps  $\alpha$  and  $\text{adj}$  are canonical adjunctions, the isomorphism  $\gamma$  follows from  $j^*\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{K}_U$ , and the isomorphism  $\delta$  holds since  $X \setminus U$  has codimension  $\geq 2$  and  $X$  is normal. The dotted arrow  $\beta$  is by definition given by a composition  $\beta := \delta^{-1} \circ j_*(v)^{-1} \circ \gamma$ .

It is clear that, writing  $1 \in \mathcal{O}_X$  for the unit section, one has

$$1 \xrightarrow{\pi_*(\tilde{v}) \circ \alpha} \pi_*\tilde{\Omega} \xrightarrow{\gamma \circ \text{adj}} j_*\Omega \xleftarrow{j_*(v) \circ \delta} 1$$

It follows that the composition  $\beta \circ \pi_*(\tilde{v}) \circ \alpha : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ , along the perimeter of diagram (10.2.1), equals the identity map  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ . We deduce, in particular, that the morphism  $\beta : \pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  is surjective. This morphism is also injective since its restriction to  $U$  is an isomorphism and the sheaf  $\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}$  is clearly torsion free. Thus,  $\beta$  is an isomorphism.

*Step 2.* The morphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\pi_*(\tilde{v})$  have natural derived analogues  $R\alpha$  and  $R\pi_*(\tilde{v})$ , respectively. Thus, in the derived category, there is a chain of morphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{R\alpha} R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{R\pi_*(\tilde{v})} R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{GR}} \pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} \mathcal{O}_X. \tag{10.2.2}$$

Here, ‘GR’ is the canonical quasi-isomorphism provided by the Grauert-Riemenschneider theorem and the morphism  $\beta$  is the isomorphism of Step 1.

Let  $\phi := \beta \circ \text{GR} \circ R\pi_*(\tilde{v}) : R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  be the composite morphism. With this notation, diagram (10.2.2) reads

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{R\alpha} R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{O}_X. \tag{10.2.3}$$

It is clear that the composition  $\phi \circ R\alpha$ , in (10.2.3), still sends 1 to 1. Hence this composition is equal to the identity.

*Step 3.* Let  $D_X$  denote the dualizing complex of the scheme  $X$ . This is an object of the derived category, and we use an unconventional normalization such that  $\mathcal{H}^0(D_X)|_U = \mathcal{K}_U$ . Write  $\mathbb{D}$  for the Grothendieck duality functor, normalized accordingly. Thus,  $D_X = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $\mathcal{K}_X = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})$  since  $\tilde{X}$  is smooth. Grothendieck’s duality commutes with proper push-forward, so we have

$$\mathbb{D}(R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = R\pi_*(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})) = R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}. \tag{10.2.4}$$

Now, we apply Grothendieck's duality to diagram (10.2.3) and use the composite isomorphism in (10.2.4). This way, one obtains a diagram

$$D_X \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}(\phi)} R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}(R\alpha)} D_X.$$

By Step 2, the composite morphism above is the identity morphism  $D_X \rightarrow D_X$ . On the other hand, we know that  $R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} = \pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}$ , by the Grauert-Riemenschneider theorem [GR]. We conclude that the identity morphism of the complex  $D_X$  factors through a morphism to a complex concentrated in degree zero. This forces  $\mathcal{H}^k(D_X) = 0$  for all  $k \neq 0$ .<sup>2</sup> Thus,  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay and part (i) of the theorem follows.

To prove parts (ii) and (iii), we apply Grothendieck's duality to (10.2.4). Using the composite isomorphism  $\beta \circ \text{GR} : R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X$ , see (10.2.2), one obtains  $R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathbb{D}^2(R\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) = \mathbb{D}(R\pi_*\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}) = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{O}_X) = D_X$ . Therefore, for all  $k \neq 0$ , we deduce  $R^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{H}^k(D_X) = 0$ . For  $k = 0$ , one finds  $\mathcal{O}_X = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = D_X$ , where the first isomorphism follows from the Zariski main theorem since  $X$  is normal.  $\square$

## 11. APPENDIX 2: REMINDER ON GIT AND STABILITY

A general theory of quotients by a reductive group action via stability conditions has been developed by D. Mumford, and is called Geometric Invariant Theory, cf. [?]. For a 'reader friendly' exposition of the subject we recommend [?]; cf. also [?] for a more differential-geometric approach.

11.1. Throughout the paper, the ground field is the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers. We write  $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $\dim = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Let  $X$  be a not necessarily irreducible, *affine* algebraic  $G$ -variety, where  $G$  is a reductive linear algebraic group. Given a rational character (= algebraic group homomorphism)  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , not of finite order, Mumford defines a scheme  $X//_\chi G$  in the following way. Let  $G$  act on the cartesian product  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  by the formula  $g : (x, z) \mapsto (gx, \chi(g)^{-1} \cdot z)$  (more generally, the cartesian product  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  may be replaced here by the total space of any  $G$ -equivariant line bundle on  $X$ ). The coordinate ring of  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  is the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}] = \mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$ , of polynomials in a variable  $z$  with coefficients in the coordinate ring of  $X$ . This algebra has an obvious grading by degree of the polynomial.

Let  $A_\chi := \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}]^G$  be the subalgebra  $G$ -invariants. Clearly, this is a graded subalgebra which is, moreover, a finitely generated algebra by Hilbert's theorem on finite generation of algebras of invariants, cf. [?, ch. II, §3.1]. Explicitly, a polynomial  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^N f_n \cdot z^n \in \mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if, for each  $n = 0, \dots, N$ , the function  $f_n$  is a  $\chi^n$ -*semi-invariant*, i.e. if and only if one has

$$f_n(g^{-1}(x)) = \chi(g)^n \cdot f_n(x), \quad \forall g \in G, x \in X.$$

Write  $\chi^n : g \mapsto \chi(g)^n$  for the  $n$ -th power of the character  $\chi$  and let  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n} \subset \mathbb{C}[X]$  be the vector space of  $\chi^n$ -semi-invariant functions. It is clear that we have

$$A_\chi := \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}]^G = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n},$$

and the direct sum decomposition on the right corresponds to the grading on the algebra  $A_\chi$ .

Let  $X//_\chi G := \text{Proj } A_\chi$  be the projective spectrum of the graded algebra  $A_\chi$ . This is a quasi-projective scheme, called a *GIT quotient* of  $X$  by the  $G$ -action. The scheme  $X//_\chi G$  is reduced,

<sup>2</sup>This trick, apparently due to Kovács, has been used in [Kov] to give elegant new proofs of other important known results. In particular, Kovács proves that any canonical singularity is rational. He also proves that a categorical quotient of a normal variety with rational singularities by a reductive group action is again a variety with rational singularities, generalizing a well-known earlier result of Hochster and Roberts.

resp. irreducible, normal, whenever so is  $X$  (since  $A_\chi$  has no nilpotents, resp. no zero divisors, is integrally closed, provided this holds for  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ ).

Put  $A_\chi^{>0} := \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of homogeneous ideals  $I \subset A_\chi$  such that one has  $I \neq A_\chi$  and  $A_\chi^{>0} \not\subset I$ . An ideal  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  is said to be a ‘maximal homogeneous ideal’ if it is not properly contained in any other ideal  $I' \in \mathcal{I}$ . Geometric points of the scheme  $X//_\chi G$  correspond to the maximal homogeneous ideals.

In general, for  $n = 0$ , we have  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^0} = \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , is the algebra of  $G$ -invariants. Thus, we have a canonical algebra imbedding  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G \hookrightarrow A_\chi$  as the degree zero subalgebra. Put another way, the algebra imbedding  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[X \times \mathbb{C}]^G = A_\chi$  is induced by the first projection  $X \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ .

Standard results of algebraic geometry imply that the algebra imbedding  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G \hookrightarrow A_\chi$  induces a *projective* morphism of schemes  $\pi : \text{Proj } A_\chi \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X]^G = X//G$ .

*Remark 11.1.1.* In the special case where  $G = \mathbb{C}^\times$  and  $A = \mathbb{C}[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_m]$ , is a polynomial algebra, we have  $\text{Proj } A = \mathbb{P}^m = (\mathbb{C}^{m+1} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

More generally, let  $G$  be a reductive group and  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  a surjective character. Thus,  $K := \text{Ker } \chi$  is a reductive normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\chi$  induces an isomorphism  $G/K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Now, let  $X$  be an affine  $G$ -variety such that  $\mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n} = 0$  for all  $n < 0$ . Let  $X//K = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[X]^K)$ , a categorical quotient by  $K$ . The residual action of the group  $G/K$  on algebra  $\mathbb{C}[X]^K$  gives a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading  $\mathbb{C}[X]^K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}[X]_n^K$ . Equivalently, there is a natural residual action of the group  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  on  $X//K$ , the categorical quotient of  $X$  by the  $K$ -action. By definition, we have  $\mathbb{C}[X]_n^K = \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n}$ . Our assumptions imply that the grading on  $\mathbb{C}[X]^K$  is nonnegative and we have  $A_\chi = \mathbb{C}[X]^K$ .

Thus, we obtain  $X//_\chi G = \text{Proj } A_\chi \cong \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[X]^K)$ . Furthermore, the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action on  $X//K$  is a contraction to  $Y := (X//K)^{\mathbb{C}^\times}$ , the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -fixed point locus, and geometric points of the scheme  $\text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[X]^K)$  correspond to the  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -orbits in  $(X//K) \setminus Y$ .  $\diamond$

*Remark 11.1.2.* For any character  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  and any positive integer  $m > 0$ , one may view the algebra  $A_{\chi^m}$  as a graded subalgebra in  $A_\chi$  via the natural imbedding  $A_{\chi^m} = \bigoplus_{\{n \geq 0, m|n\}} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n} \hookrightarrow A_\chi = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n}$ , called the *Veronese imbedding*. One can show that the Veronese imbedding induces an isomorphism  $X//_\chi G \xrightarrow{\sim} X//_{\chi^m} G$ , of algebraic varieties.  $\diamond$

Given a nonzero homogeneous semi-invariant  $f \in A_\chi$  we put  $X_f := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$ . To get a better understanding of the GIT quotient  $X//_\chi G$ , one introduces the following definition, [?].

**Definition.** (i) A point  $x \in X$  is called  $\chi$ -*semistable* if there exists  $n \geq 1$  and a  $\chi^n$ -semi-invariant  $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{\chi^n}$  such that  $x \in X_f$ .

(ii) A point  $x \in X$  is called  $\chi$ -*stable* if there exists  $n$  and  $f$  as in (i) such that  $x \in X_f$  and, in addition, all points of  $X_f$  have finite stabilizers.

Write  $X_\chi^{ss}$ , resp.  $X_\chi^s$ , for the set of semistable, resp. stable, points. Thus, we have  $X_\chi^s \subset X_\chi^{ss} \subset X$ .

(iii) Semistable points  $x$  and  $x'$  are called *S-equivalent* if and only if the orbit closures  $\overline{G \cdot x}$  and  $\overline{G \cdot x'}$  meet in  $X_\chi^{ss}$ .

Each of the sets  $X_\chi^{ss}$  and  $X_\chi^s$  is a union of sets of the form  $X_f$ , hence it is a  $G$ -stable Zariski open subset of  $X$ . Furthermore, there is a well defined morphism  $\varpi : X_\chi^{ss} \rightarrow X//_\chi G$ , of algebraic varieties, obtained by gluing together the usual categorical quotient maps  $\varpi_f : X_f \rightarrow X_f//G$ , for various semi-invariants  $f$ . The resulting map  $\varpi$  is constant on  $G$ -orbits and the image of a  $G$ -orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset X_\chi^{ss}$  is a point corresponding to the maximal homogeneous ideal  $\mathcal{I}_\mathcal{O} \subset A_\chi$  formed by the functions  $f \in A_\chi$  such that  $f(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ .

Let  $Z$  be the set of points  $x \in X_\chi^{ss}$  such that the stabilizer of  $x$  is a group of dimension  $> 0$ . Let  $U := (X//_\chi G) \setminus \varpi(Z)$ .

One of the basic results of GIT reads

**Theorem 11.1.3.** (i) *The morphism  $\varpi$  induces a natural bijection between the set of  $S$ -equivalence classes of  $G$ -orbits in  $X_\chi^{ss}$  and the set of geometric points of the scheme  $X//_\chi G$ .*

(ii) *The set  $U$  is Zariski open (not necessarily dense) in  $X//_\chi G$  and we have  $X_\chi^s = \varpi^{-1}(U)$ . Moreover, each fiber of the map  $\varpi : X_\chi^s \rightarrow U$  is a single  $G$ -orbit in  $X_\chi^{ss}$  of maximal dimension; thus one has a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_\chi^s = \varpi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varpi} & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xleftarrow{j} & X_\chi^{ss} \xrightarrow{\varpi} X//_\chi G \end{array} \quad (11.1.4)$$

*Sketch of Proof.* We let  $G$  act on  $\tilde{X} := X \times \mathbb{C}$  as at the beginning of the section. We consider the adjoint quotient map  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}/G$  and, for any  $x \in X$ , consider the point  $(x, 1) \in \tilde{X}$ . Then, Definition 11.1 says that  $x$  is  $\chi$ -semistable if and only if one has  $p(x, 1) \notin p(X \times \{0\})$ .

Using this interpretation, all the statements of the theorem become easy consequences of the fact that the assignment  $Y \mapsto p^{-1}(Y)$  yields a bijection between closed subsets of  $\tilde{X}/G$  and  $G$ -stable closed subsets of  $\tilde{X}$ . In particular, we deduce from the above that  $x$  is semistable if and only if the closure of the  $G$ -orbit of the element  $(x, 1) \in \tilde{X}$  does not meet  $X \times \{0\}$ . It follows that elements  $x, x' \in X_\chi^{ss}$  are  $S$ -equivalent if and only if the closures of the  $G$ -orbits of  $(x, 1)$  and of  $(x', 1)$  meet in  $\tilde{X} \setminus (X \times \{0\})$ . This implies (i).

To prove (ii), let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]^{x^n}$ ,  $n > 0$ , be a semi-invariant such that all points in  $X_f$  have finite stabilizers. Let  $\tilde{f} = f \cdot z^n \in \mathbb{C}[X] \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$  be the corresponding  $G$ -invariant function on  $X \times \mathbb{C}$ . It follows that, for any  $c \neq 0$  all points of the level set  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  have finite stabilizers. Thus,  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  is a  $G$ -stable closed subset of  $\tilde{X}$  such that any  $G$ -orbit contained in  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  has maximal dimension,  $\dim G$ . Hence, the closure of such an orbit in  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  must be equal to the orbit itself, i.e. all orbits in  $\tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  are closed. This implies that, for any fiber  $W$  of the map  $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}/G$ , the set  $W \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(c)$  is either a single  $G$ -orbits or empty.

Now, let  $U_f := \varpi(X_f)$ . We deduce that each fiber of the map  $\varpi : \varpi^{-1}(U_f) \rightarrow U_f$  is a single  $G$ -orbit. Thus, we have  $\varpi^{-1}(U_f) = X_f$  and therefore  $X_f \subset \varpi^{-1}(U)$ , since any point of  $X_f$  has a finite stabilizer. We conclude that  $X_\chi^s \subset \varpi^{-1}(U)$ . Conversely, any point of  $\varpi^{-1}(U)$  has a finite stabilizer, by definition. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Examples 11.1.5.* (i) For the trivial character  $\chi = 1$ , we have  $A_\chi = \mathbb{C}[X]^G \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$ . The regular function  $z \in A_\chi$  is a homogeneous degree one regular function that does not vanish on  $X$ . Therefore, we have  $X = X_z$  and any point  $x \in X$  is  $\chi$ -semistable. Such a point is  $\chi$ -stable if and only if the  $G$ -orbit of  $x$  is a closed orbit in  $X$  of dimension  $\dim G$ . Furthermore, one has

$$X//_\chi G = \text{Proj } A_\chi = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[X]^G \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]) = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[X]^G = X//G, \text{ for } \chi = 1.$$

In this case, the canonical map  $\pi$  becomes an isomorphism  $X//_\chi G \xrightarrow{\sim} X//G$ .

(ii) Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We put  $\tilde{G} := G \times \mathbb{C}^\times$  and let  $\chi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be the character  $\chi(g, z) := z$ . We let  $G$  act on  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the adjoint action and let the group  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  act on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by dilations. This makes  $\mathfrak{g}$  a  $\tilde{G}$ -variety. It is clear that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{x^n}$  is the space of homogeneous  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant polynomials on  $\mathfrak{g}$  of degree  $n$ . Thus, we have  $A_\chi = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  and also  $\text{Ker } \chi = G$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{g}//_\chi \tilde{G} = \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G) = ((\mathfrak{g}// \text{Ad } G) \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times$ .

Further, fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  and let  $W$  be the corresponding Weyl group. By a well-known result of Chevalley, the algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$  and, moreover, the latter is a

free polynomial algebra. We deduce that  $\mathfrak{g} //_{\chi} \widetilde{G}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}(\mathfrak{h}/W) := (\mathfrak{h}/W \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , a *weighted* projective space.

Finally, we observe that an element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is semistable if and only if it is not nilpotent and it is stable if and only if it is a regular semisimple element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We will frequently use the following result which is, essentially, a consequence of definitions.

**Corollary 11.1.6.** (i) *Let  $X$  be a smooth  $G$ -variety such that the isotropy group of any point of  $X$  is connected. Then the set  $\varpi(X_{\chi}^s)$  is contained in the smooth locus of the scheme  $X //_{\chi} G$ .*

(ii) *Assume, in addition, that  $X$  is affine and that the  $G$ -action on  $X_{\chi}^{ss}$  is free. Then any semistable point is stable, the scheme  $X //_{\chi} G$  is smooth. Furthermore, the morphism  $\varpi : X_{\chi}^{ss} \rightarrow X //_{\chi} G$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle (in étale topology).  $\square$*

In the situation of part (ii) of the Corollary, one often calls the map  $\varpi$ , or the variety  $X //_{\chi} G$ , a *universal geometric quotient*.

11.2. Categories of coherent sheaves on the  $G$ -variety  $X$  and its GIT quotients are related via natural functors induced by the morphisms in diagram (11.1.4). In general, let  $\text{Coh}(Y)$ , resp.  $\text{Coh}^G(Y)$ , denote the abelian category of coherent sheaves, resp.  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaves, on a scheme  $Y$ . Then, pull-back and push-forward via the map  $\varpi$  give functors  $\text{Coh}(X //_{\chi} G) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^G(X_{\chi}^{ss})$  and  $\text{Coh}^G(X_{\chi}^{ss}) \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X //_{\chi} G)$ , where the second functor sends a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $(\varpi_* \mathcal{F})^G$ .

Further, given a finitely generated graded  $A_{\chi}$ -module  $M$  and a semi-invariant  $f$  on  $X$ , one obtains by localization a  $G$ -equivariant coherent sheaf on the open set  $X_f \subset X$ . Taking  $G$ -invariants gives a coherent sheaf on  $X_f // G$ . It is a formal consequence of the Proj-construction that the resulting sheaves on open sets  $X_f // G$  glue together, for various semi-invariants  $f$ , to produce a well defined coherent sheaf  $\mathbb{F}(M)$  on the scheme  $\text{Proj } A_{\chi}$ .

Let  $A_{\chi}\text{-grmod}$  be the abelian category finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded left  $A_{\chi}$ -modules and  $A_{\chi}\text{-tails}$  the full subcategory of  $A_{\chi}\text{-grmod}$  whose objects are the  $A_{\chi}$ -modules which have only finitely many nonzero homogeneous components. It is immediate from definitions that  $A_{\chi}\text{-tails}$  is a *Serre subcategory* (i.e. an abelian subcategory stable under taking extensions) of  $A_{\chi}\text{-grmod}$ .

*Remark 11.2.1.* We remark that since the grading on the algebra  $A_{\chi}$  is nonnegative, *any* finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $A_{\chi}$ -module has at most finitely many nonzero homogeneous components of *negative* degrees.

The construction above yields a functor  $\mathbb{F} : A_{\chi}\text{-grmod} \rightarrow \text{Coh}(X //_{\chi} G)$ ,  $M \mapsto \mathbb{F}(M)$ . This functor kills any object of  $A_{\chi}\text{-tails}$ , by definition. Moreover, one has the following generalization of a classical result of Serre:

**Theorem 11.2.2.** *The functor  $\mathbb{F}$  induces an equivalence*

$$\mathbb{F} : A_{\chi}\text{-grmod}/A_{\chi}\text{-tails} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(X //_{\chi} G).$$

The equivalence of the theorem is related to pull-back and push-forward functors resulting from diagram (11.1.4) as follows

**Proposition 11.2.3.** (i) *For any  $\mathcal{S} \in \text{Coh}^G(X)$ , there exists a large enough integer  $m(\mathcal{S})$  such that the restriction map induces an isomorphism*

$$j^* : \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})^{\chi^m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(X_{\chi}^{ss}, j^* \mathcal{S})^{\chi^m} \quad \text{for all } m \geq m(\mathcal{S}).$$

(ii) *In the situation of Corollary 11.1.6(ii) the functors  $\varpi^*$  and  $(\varpi_*(-))^G$  provide mutually inverse equivalences  $\text{Coh}(X_{\chi}^{ss}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}^G(X //_{\chi} G)$ . Moreover, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$j^* \mathcal{S} \cong \varpi^* \circ \mathbb{F} \left( \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{S})^{\chi^m} \right),$$

of functors from  $\text{Coh}^G(X)$  to  $\text{Coh}^G(X_X^{ss})$ .

Here, the first statement in part (ii) is well known. A sketch of proof of other statements may be found in [?, §7.4].

## 12. APPENDIX 3: SOMESSE VANISHING

12.1. Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a projective morphism, where  $X$  is a smooth and  $Y$  is a normal variety. In [K3], Kaledin proves the following result that can also be deduced (with some work) from general vanishing theorems due to Esnault and Viehweg [EV].

**Theorem 12.1.1.** *For any  $p, q \geq 0$  such that  $p + q > \dim(X \times_Y X)$ , one has  $R^p\pi\Omega_X^q = 0$ .*

Let now  $(X, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then, we have  $\Omega_X^1 \cong \mathcal{T}_X$ ; also, the volume form  $\wedge^n \omega$  provides a trivialization of the canonical bundle  $\mathcal{K}_X = \Omega_X^{2n}$ . Hence, one obtains a chain of isomorphisms

$$\Omega_X^p \cong \wedge^p \mathcal{T}_X \cong \wedge^p \mathcal{T}_X \cong \Omega_X^{\dim X - p},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by contraction of the volume form  $\wedge^n \omega$  with  $p$ -polyvector fields. Thus, from Theorem 12.1.1, we deduce

**Corollary 12.1.2.** *Given a smooth symplectic manifold  $X$  and a projective morphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ , one has*

$$R^p\pi\Omega_X^q = 0, \quad \forall p - q > \dim(X \times_Y X) - \dim X.$$

*In the special case where the map  $\pi$  is a symplectic resolution, we deduce:  $R^p\pi\Omega_X^q = 0$  whenever  $p > q$ .*

12.2. **Proof of Theorem 12.1.1.** The argument below, based on Saito's theory of mixed Hodge module was communicated to me by Sasha Beilinson. It is different (and much shorter) than the proof given in [K3].

We begin with some general remarks. Let  $\kappa$  be the image of the canonical element  $\text{Id} \in \text{End}(\pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y^*) = \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y^* \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y$  under the morphism

$$d\pi \otimes \text{Id}_{\pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y} : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y^* \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X^* \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y.$$

For each pair of integers  $i, j$ , let  $E_j^i := \wedge^{\dim X + i} \mathcal{T}_X^* \otimes \text{Sym}^{j+i} \pi^*\mathcal{T}_Y$ . Then, multiplication by  $\kappa$  gives a Koszul type differential  $E_j^i \rightarrow E_j^{i+1}$ . Thus, for each  $j$  we obtain a complex  $(E_j, \kappa)$ .

The canonical sheaf  $\mathcal{K}_X$  has a natural structure of right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. Furthermore, this  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module comes equipped with the structure of a pure Hodge module, with Hodge filtration  $F^0\mathcal{K}_X = \mathcal{K}_X$  and  $F^1\mathcal{K}_X = 0$ . Let  $\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F)$  denote a direct image of that module in the derived category of filtered  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. Thus,  $\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F)$  is a filtered  $\mathcal{D}_Y$ -complex. So, for any  $j$ , there is an associated graded complex  $\text{gr}_F^j(\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F))$ , of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules.

**Lemma 12.2.1.** *There is a canonical isomorphism  $\text{gr}_F^j(\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F)) \cong R\pi_*E_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 12.1.1. We put  $d := \dim(X \times_Y X) - \dim X$ .

*Step 1.* We claim that, for all  $n > d$ , we have  $H^n\pi_+\mathcal{K}_X = 0$ .

To prove this, we can replace  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules by perverse sheaves. Then  $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}}$  becomes  $\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}[\dim \tilde{X}]$  and we want to check that the top perverse cohomology of  $R\pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}[\dim \tilde{X}]$  occurs in degree  $\leq d$ . Cut  $X$  by strata  $X_i$  such that  $\tilde{X}_i := \pi^{-1}(X_i) \rightarrow X_i$  is a topological fibration. The restriction of  $R\pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}$  to  $X_i$  has locally constant cohomology sheaves that lie in degrees  $[0, 2(\dim \tilde{X}_i - \dim X_i)]$ . Viewed as perverse sheaves, they lie in degrees

$$[\dim X_i, 2(\dim \tilde{X}_i - \dim X_i) + \dim X_i] = [\dim X_i, \dim(\tilde{X}_i \times_{X_i} \tilde{X}_i)].$$

Therefore  $R\pi_*\mathbb{C}_{\tilde{X}}[\dim \tilde{X}]$  has a filtration whose successive quotients are !-extensions from  $X_i$  to  $X$  of complexes of perverse sheaves with top cohomology in degree  $\dim(\tilde{X}_i \times_{X_i} \tilde{X}_i) - \dim \tilde{X}$ . The !-extension is right t-exact (for perverse t-structure), and  $\dim(\tilde{X}_i \times_{X_i} \tilde{X}_i)$  is not larger than  $\dim(\tilde{X} \times_X \tilde{X})$ . We are done.

*Step 2.* Saito's theory of polarizable Hodge modules insures that the Hodge filtration on the complex  $\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F)$  is strictly compatible with the differential, i.e. one has  $H^n \operatorname{gr}_F \pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F) = \operatorname{gr}_F H^n \pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F)$ . Hence, using Lemma 12.2.1, we deduce

$$R^n \pi_* E_j \cong H^n(\operatorname{gr}_F^j(\pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F))) \cong \operatorname{gr}_F^j H^n \pi_+(\mathcal{K}_X, F) = 0, \quad \forall n > d. \quad (12.2.2)$$

Observe next that the complex  $R\pi_* E_j$  has a natural increasing filtration,  $G_* R\pi_* E_j$ , such that  $\operatorname{gr}_i^G R\pi_* E_j = R\pi_*(\wedge^{\dim X - i} \mathcal{T}_X^* \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{j-i} \pi^* \mathcal{T}_Y)[i]$ . Thus, there are short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow G_{j-1} E_j \rightarrow E_j \rightarrow R\pi_* \wedge^{\dim X - j} \mathcal{T}_X^*[j] \rightarrow 0. \quad (12.2.3)$$

*Step 3.* We claim that  $H^n G_{j-1} E_j = 0$  for all  $n > d$ . We prove this by downward induction on  $q := \dim X - j$  using (12.2.2) as the base of induction. To prove the induction step, suppose for some  $j$  we have shown that  $H^n G_{j-1} E_j = 0$  for all  $n > d$ . Then, for  $q = \dim X - j$ , from (12.2.3) we deduce  $H^n(R\pi_* \wedge^q \mathcal{T}_X^*[j]) = R^{n+\dim X - q} \pi_* \wedge^q \mathcal{T}_X^* = 0$ . This proves the claim.

Now, our claim implies that for  $p + q > d + \dim X$ , we have  $R^p \pi_* \wedge^q \mathcal{T}_X^* = 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [Bea] A. Beauville, *Symplectic singularities*. Invent. Math. 139 (2000), 541-549.
- [Bea2] ———, *Fano contact manifolds and nilpotent orbits* Comment. Math. Helv. 73 (1998), 566-583.
- [BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*. Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [BGS] ———, V. Ginzburg, and W. Soergel, *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 473-527.
- [BK] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, *Fedosov quantization in positive characteristic*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), 409–438.
- [BM] ———, I. Mirković, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in prime characteristic and noncommutative Springer resolution*. arXiv:1001.2562.
- [Bi] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*. Ann. of Math. (2) 98 (1973), 480-497.
- [Br] A. Braun, *On symmetric, smooth and Calabi-Yau algebras*. J. Algebra 317 (2007), 519-533.
- [BrK] R. Brylinski, B. Kostant, *Nilpotent orbits, normality and Hamiltonian group actions*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1994), 269-298.
- [CS] H. Cassens, P. Slodowy, *On Kleinian singularities and quivers*. Singularities (Oberwolfach, 1996), 263–288, Progr. Math., 162, Birkhuser, Basel, 1998.
- [CG] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [CBV] W. Crawley-Boevey, M. Van den Bergh, *Absolutely indecomposable representations and Kac-Moody Lie algebras*. Invent. Math. 155 (2004), 537-559.
- [DCLP] C. De Concini, G. Lusztig, C. Procesi, *Homology of the zero-set of a nilpotent vector field on a flag manifold*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988), 15–34.
- [El] R. Elkik, *Rationalité des singularités canoniques*. Invent. Math. 64 (1981), 1-6.
- [EV] H. Esnault, E. Viehweg, *Lectures on vanishing theorems*. DMV Seminar, 20. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [FK] M. Finkelberg, D. Kubrak, *Vanishing cycles on Poisson varieties*. arXiv:1212.3051.
- [Fl] H. Flenner, *Rationale quasihomogene Singularitäten*. Arch. Math. 36 (1981), 35-44.
- [Fu] B. Fu, *A survey on symplectic singularities and symplectic resolutions*. Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal 13 (2006), 209–236.
- [Ful] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*. 2d edition. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Gi1] V. Ginzburg, *Geometric methods in the representation theory of Hecke algebras and quantum groups*. NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 514, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 127–183, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998.
- [Gi2] ———, *Lectures on Nakajima's Quiver Varieties*. arXiv:0905.0686.
- [Gi3] ———, *Calabi-Yau algebras*. arXiv:math/0612139.

- [GK] ———, D. Kaledin, *Poisson deformations of Symplectic quotient-singularities*, Adv. Math. 186 (2004), 1–57.
- [GM] M. Goresky, R. MacPherson, *Morse theory and intersection homology theory*. Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981), 135–192, Astérisque, 101–102, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.
- [GR] H. Grauert, O. Riemenschneider, *Verschwindungssatze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Rumen*. Invent. Math. 11 (1970), 263–292.
- [Ha] T. Hausel, *Kac’s conjecture from Nakajima quiver varieties*. Invent. Math. 181 (2010), 21–37.
- [K1] D. Kaledin, *On crepant resolutions of symplectic quotient singularities*. Selecta Math. 9 (2003), 529–555.
- [K2] ———, *McKay correspondence for symplectic quotient singularities*, Invent. Math. 148 (2002), 151–175.
- [K3] ———, *Sommese Vanishing for non-compact manifolds*. arXiv:math.AG/0312271.
- [K4] ———, *Symplectic singularities from the Poisson point of view*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 600 (2006), 135–156.
- [K5] ———, *Derived equivalences by quantization*. Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2008), 1968–2004.
- [K6] ———, *On the coordinate ring of a projective Poisson scheme*. Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), 99–107.
- [KV] ———, M. Verbitsky, *Period map for non-compact holomorphically symplectic manifolds*, GAFA, 12 (2002), 1265–1295.
- [KKM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, *Introduction to the minimal model problem*. Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985, 283–360, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [Kov] S. Kovács, *A characterization of rational singularities*. Duke Math. J. 102 (2000), 187–191.
- [Kro] P. Kronheimer, *The construction of ALE spaces as hyper-Kähler quotients*, J. Differential Geom. 29 (1989), 665–683.
- [Nak] ———, *Quiver varieties and finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), 145–238.
- [N1] Y. Namikawa, *Deformation theory of singular symplectic  $n$ -folds*. Math. Ann. 319 (2001), 597–623.
- [N2] ———, *Poisson deformations of affine symplectic varieties*. Duke Math. J. 156 (2011), 51–85.
- [Pa] D. Panyushev, *Rationality of singularities and the Gorenstein property of nilpotent orbits*. (Russian) translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 25 (1991), 225–226 (1992).
- [Sl] P. Slodowy, *Simple singularities and simple algebraic groups*, Lecture Notes in Math., **815**, Springer Verlag, 1980.
- [Sp] T. A. Springer, *A purity result for fixed point varieties in flag manifolds*. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 31 (1984), 271–282.
- [W] J. Wierzba, *Contractions of symplectic varieties*. J. Algebraic Geom. 12 (2003), p. 507–534.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60637, USA  
*E-mail address:* ginzburg@math.uchicago.edu